


Titanium: A Java Dialect for High Performance Computing

Katherine Yelick

U.C. Berkeley and LBNL

Motivation: Target Problems

- ◆ Many modeling problems in astrophysics, biology, material science, and other areas require
 - Enormous range of spatial and temporal scales
- ◆ To solve interesting problems, one needs:
 - Adaptive methods
 - Large scale parallel machines
- ◆ Titanium is designed for
 - Structured grids
 - Locally-structured grids (AMR)
 - Unstructured grids (in progress)



Source: J. Bell, LBNL

Titanium Background

- ◆ Based on Java, a cleaner C++
 - Classes, automatic memory management, etc.
 - Compiled to C and then machine code, **no JVM**
- ◆ Same parallelism model at UPC and CAF
 - SPMD parallelism
 - Dynamic Java threads are not supported
- ◆ Optimizing compiler
 - Analyzes global synchronization
 - Optimizes pointers, communication, memory

Summary of Features Added to Java

- ◆ **Multidimensional arrays: iterators, subarrays, copying**
- ◆ **Immutable (“value”) classes**
- ◆ **Templates**
- ◆ **Operator overloading**
- ◆ **Scalable SPMD parallelism replaces threads**
- ◆ **Global address space with local/global reference distinction**
- ◆ **Checked global synchronization**
- ◆ **Zone-based memory management (regions)**
- ◆ **Libraries for collective communication, distributed arrays, bulk I/O, performance profiling**

Outline

- ◆ **Titanium Execution Model**
 - **SPMD**
 - **Global Synchronization**
 - **Single**
- ◆ **Titanium Memory Model**
- ◆ **Support for Serial Programming**
- ◆ **Performance and Applications**
- ◆ **Compiler/Language Status**

SPMD Execution Model

- ◆ Titanium has the same execution model as UPC and CAF
- ◆ Basic Java programs may be run as Titanium programs, but all processors do all the work.

- ◆ E.g., parallel hello world

```
class HelloWorld {  
    public static void main (String [] argv) {  
        System.out.println("Hello from proc "  
            + Ti.thisProc()  
            + " out of "  
            + Ti.numProcs());  
    }  
}
```

- ◆ Global synchronization done using `Ti.barrier()`

Barriers and Single

- ◆ Common source of bugs is barriers or other collective operations inside branches or loops

`barrier, broadcast, reduction, exchange`

- ◆ A “single” method is one called by all procs

`public single static void allStep(...)`

- ◆ A “single” variable has same value on all procs

`int single timestep = 0;`

- ◆ Single annotation on methods is optional, but useful in understanding compiler messages
- ◆ Compiler proves that all processors call barriers together

Explicit Communication: Broadcast

- ◆ Broadcast is a one-to-all communication

```
broadcast <value> from <processor>
```

- ◆ For example:

```
int count = 0;
int allCount = 0;
if (Ti.thisProc() == 0) count = computeCount();
allCount = broadcast count from 0;
```

- ◆ The processor number in the broadcast must be single; all constants are single.
 - All processors must agree on the broadcast source.
- ◆ The `allCount` variable could be declared single.
 - All will have the same value after the broadcast.

More on Single

- ◆ **Global synchronization needs to be controlled**

```
if (this processor owns some data) {  
    compute on it  
    barrier  
}
```
- ◆ **Hence the use of “single” variables in Titanium**
- ◆ **If a conditional or loop block contains a barrier, all processors must execute it**
 - **conditions must contain only single variables**
- ◆ **Compiler analysis statically enforces freedom from deadlocks due to barrier and other collectives being called non-collectively "Barrier Inference" [Gay & Aiken]**

Single Variable Example

◆ Barriers and single in N-body Simulation

```
class ParticleSim {
    public static void main (String [] argv) {
        int single allTimestep = 0;
        int single allEndTime = 100;
        for (; allTimestep < allEndTime; allTimestep++){
            read remote particles, compute forces on mine
            Ti.barrier();
            write to my particles using new forces
            Ti.barrier();
        }
    }
}
```


◆ Single methods inferred by the compiler

Outline

- ◆ **Titanium Execution Model**
- ◆ **Titanium Memory Model**
 - **Global and Local References**
 - **Exchange: Building Distributed Data Structures**
 - **Region-Based Memory Management**
- ◆ **Support for Serial Programming**
- ◆ **Performance and Applications**
- ◆ **Compiler/Language Status**

Global Address Space

- ◆ Globally shared address space is partitioned
- ◆ References (pointers) are either local or global (meaning possibly remote)




Use of Global / Local

- ◆ **Global references (pointers) may point to remote locations**
 - Reference are global by default
 - Easy to port shared-memory programs
- ◆ **Global pointers are more expensive than local**
 - True even when data is on the same processor
 - Costs of global:
 - ◆ space (processor number + memory address)
 - ◆ dereference time (check to see if local)
- ◆ **May declare references as **local****
 - Compiler will automatically infer **local** when possible
 - This is an important performance-tuning mechanism

Global Address Space

- ◆ Processes allocate locally
- ◆ References can be passed to other processes

```
class C { public int val;... }  
C gv;      // global pointer  
C local lv; // local pointer  
if (Ti.thisProc() == 0) {  
    lv = new C();  
}  
gv = broadcast lv from 0;  
//data race  
gv.val = Ti.thisProc()+1;  
  
int winner = gv.val
```



Aside on Titanium Arrays

- ◆ Titanium adds its own multidimensional array class for performance
- ◆ Distributed data structures are built using a 1D Titanium array
- ◆ Slightly different syntax, since Java arrays still exist in Titanium, e.g.:

```
int [1d] a;  
  
a = new int [1:100];  
  
a[1] = 2*a[1] - a[0] - a[2];
```

- ◆ Will discuss these more later...


Explicit Communication: Exchange

- ◆ To create shared data structures
 - each processor builds its own piece
 - pieces are exchanged (for objects, just exchange pointers)

- ◆ Exchange primitive in Titanium

```
int [1d] single allData;  
allData = new int [0:Ti.numProcs()-1];  
allData.exchange(Ti.thisProc()*2);
```

- ◆ E.g., on 4 procs, each will have copy of allData:




Distributed Data Structures

◆ Building distributed arrays:

```
Particle [1d] single [1d] allParticle =  
    new Particle [0:Ti.numProcs-1][1d];  
Particle [1d] myParticle =  
    new Particle [0:myParticleCount-1];  
allParticle.exchange(myParticle);
```

← All to all broadcast

◆ Now each processor has array of pointers, one to each processor's chunk of particles



Region-Based Memory Management

- ◆ **An advantage of Java over C/C++ is:**
 - **Automatic memory management**
- ◆ **But garbage collection:**
 - **Has a reputation of slowing serial code**
 - **Does not scale well in a parallel environment**
- ◆ **Titanium approach:**
 - **Preserves safety – cannot deallocate live data**
 - **Garbage collection is the default (on most platforms)**
 - **Higher performance is possible using region-based explicit memory management**
 - **Takes advantage of memory management phases**

Region-Based Memory Management

- ◆ Need to organize data structures
- ◆ Allocate set of objects (safely)
- ◆ Delete them with a single explicit call (fast)


```
PrivateRegion r = new PrivateRegion();
for (int j = 0; j < 10; j++) {
    int[] x = new ( r ) int[j + 1];
    work(j, x);
}
try { r.delete(); }
catch (RegionInUse oops) {
    System.out.println("failed to delete");
}
}
```

Outline

- ◆ **Titanium Execution Model**
- ◆ **Titanium Memory Model**
- ◆ **Support for Serial Programming**
 - **Immutables**
 - **Operator overloading**
 - **Multidimensional arrays**
 - **Templates**
- ◆ **Performance and Applications**
- ◆ **Compiler/Language Status**

Java Objects

- ◆ **Primitive scalar types: boolean, double, int, etc.**
 - implementations store these on the program stack
 - access is fast -- comparable to other languages
- ◆ **Objects: user-defined and standard library**
 - always allocated dynamically in the heap
 - passed by pointer value (object sharing)
 - has implicit level of indirection
 - simple model, but inefficient for small objects



Java Object Example

```
class Complex {  
    private double real;  
    private double imag;  
    public Complex(double r, double i) {  
        real = r; imag = i; }  
    public Complex add(Complex c) {  
        return new Complex(c.real + real, c.imag + imag);  
    }  
    public double getReal { return real; }  
    public double getImag { return imag; }  
}
```

```
Complex c = new Complex(7.1, 4.3);
```

```
c = c.add(c);
```

```
class VisComplex extends Complex { ... }
```

Immutable Classes in Titanium

- ◆ For small objects, would sometimes prefer
 - to avoid level of indirection and allocation overhead
 - pass by value (copying of entire object)
 - especially when immutable -- fields never modified
 - ◆ extends the idea of primitive values to user-defined types
- ◆ Titanium introduces immutable classes
 - all fields are implicitly **final** (constant)
 - **cannot inherit** from or be inherited by other classes
 - needs to have 0-argument constructor
- ◆ Examples: Complex, xyz components of a force
- ◆ Note: considering lang. extension to allow mutation

Example of Immutable Classes

- ◆ The immutable complex class nearly the same

```
immutable class Complex {  
    Complex () {real=0; imag=0;}  
    ...  
}
```

new keyword →

Zero-argument constructor required ←

Rest unchanged. No assignment to fields outside of constructors. ←

- ◆ Use of immutable complex values

```
Complex c1 = new Complex(7.1, 4.3);  
Complex c2 = new Complex(2.5, 9.0);  
c1 = c1.add(c2);
```

- ◆ Addresses performance and programmability
 - Similar to C structs in terms of performance
 - Support for Complex with a general mechanism

Operator Overloading

- ◆ Titanium provides operator overloading
 - Convenient in scientific code
 - Feature is similar to that in C++

```
class Complex {  
    ...  
    public Complex op+(Complex c) {  
        return new Complex(c.real + real, c.imag + imag);  
    }  
}
```


```
Complex c1 = new Complex(7.1, 4.3);
```

```
Complex c2 = new Complex(5.4, 3.9);
```

```
Complex c3 = c1 + c2;
```


Arrays in Java

- ◆ Arrays in Java are objects
- ◆ Only 1D arrays are directly supported
- ◆ Multidimensional arrays are arrays of arrays
- ◆ General, but slow
- ◆ Subarrays are important in AMR (e.g., interior of a grid)
 - Even C and C++ don't support these well
 - Hand-coding (array libraries) can confuse optimizer
- ◆ Can build multidimensional arrays, but we want
 - Compiler optimizations and nice syntax



Multidimensional Arrays in Titanium

- ◆ **New multidimensional array added**
 - **Supports subarrays without copies**
 - ◆ can refer to rows, columns, slabs interior, boundary, even elements...
 - **Indexed by Points (tuples of ints)**
 - **Built on a rectangular set of Points, RectDomain**
 - **Points, Domains and RectDomains are built-in immutable classes, with useful literal syntax**
- ◆ **Support for AMR and other grid computations**
 - **domain operations: intersection, shrink, border**
 - **bounds-checking can be disabled after debugging**



Unordered Iteration

- ◆ **Motivation:**
 - Memory hierarchy optimizations are essential
 - Compilers sometimes do these, but hard in general
- ◆ **Titanium has explicitly unordered iteration**
 - Helps the compiler with analysis
 - Helps programmer avoid indexing details

```
foreach (p in r) { ... A[p] ... }
```

 - ◆ p is a Point (tuple of ints), can be used as array index
 - ◆ r is a RectDomain or Domain
- ◆ **Additional operations on domains to transform**
- ◆ **Note: foreach is not a parallelism construct**

Point, RectDomain, Arrays in General

- ◆ Points specified by a tuple of ints

```
Point<2> lb = [1, 1];  
Point<2> ub = [10, 20];
```

- ◆ RectDomains given by 3 points:

- lower bound, upper bound (and optional stride)

```
RectDomain<2> r = [lb : ub];
```

- ◆ Array declared by num dimensions and type

```
double [2d] a;
```

- ◆ Array created by passing RectDomain

```
a = new double [r];
```

Simple Array Example

◆ Matrix sum in Titanium

```
Point<2> lb = [1,1];  
Point<2> ub = [10,20];  
RectDomain<2> r = [lb:ub];
```

} No array allocation here

```
double [2d] a = new double [r];  
double [2d] b = new double [1:10,1:20];  
double [2d] c = new double [lb:ub:[1,1]];
```

Syntactic sugar

```
for (int i = 1; i <= 10; i++)  
    for (int j = 1; j <= 20; j++)  
        c[i,j] = a[i,j] + b[i,j];
```


Optional stride

Equivalent loops


```
foreach(p in c.domain()) { c[p] = a[p] + b[p]; }
```

More Array Operations


- ◆ Titanium arrays have a rich set of operations



translate



restrict



slice (n dim to n-1)


- ◆ None of these modify the original array, they just create another view of the data in that array
- ◆ You create arrays with a RectDomain and get it back later using `A.domain()` for array A
 - A Domain is a set of points in space
 - A RectDomain is a rectangular one
- ◆ Operations on Domains include `+`, `-`, `*` (union, difference, intersection)

MatMul with Titanium Arrays

```
public static void matMul(double [2d] a,  
                          double [2d] b,  
                          double [2d] c) {  
    foreach (ij in c.domain()) {  
        double [1d] aRowi = a.slice(1, ij[1]);  
        double [1d] bColj = b.slice(2, ij[2]);  
        foreach (k in aRowi.domain()) {  
            c[ij] += aRowi[k] * bColj[k];  
        }  
    }  
}
```

Current performance: comparable to 3 nested loops in C

Example: Setting Boundary Conditions



```
foreach (l in local_grids.domain()) {  
  foreach (a in all_grids.domain()) {  
    local_grids[l].copy(all_grids[a]);  
  }  
}
```

- Can allocate arrays in a global index space.
- Let compiler compute intersections

Templates

- ◆ Many applications use containers:
 - Parameterized by dimensions, element types,...
 - Java supports parameterization through inheritance
 - ◆ Can only put Object types into containers
 - ◆ Inefficient when used extensively
- ◆ Titanium provides a template mechanism closer to C++
 - Can be instantiated with non-object types (double, Complex) as well as objects
- ◆ Example: Used to build a distributed array package
 - Hides the details of exchange, indirection within the data structure, etc.

Example of Templates

```
template <class Element> class Stack {  
    . . .  
    public Element pop() {...}  
    public void push( Element arrival ) {...}  
}
```

```
template Stack<int> list = new template Stack<int>();  
list.push( 1 ); ← Not an object  
int x = list.pop(); ← Strongly typed,  
                    No dynamic cast
```

◆ Addresses programmability and performance

Using Templates: Distributed Arrays


```
template <class T, int single arity>
public class DistArray {
    RectDomain <arity> single rd;
    T [arity d][arity d] subMatrices;
    RectDomain <arity> [arity d] single subDomains;
    ...
    /* Sets the element at p to value */
    public void set (Point <arity> p, T value) {
        getHomingSubMatrix (p) [p] = value;
    }
}
```

```
template DistArray <double, 2> single A =
    new template
        DistArray<double, 2> ( [[0,0]:[aHeight, aWidth]] );
```

Outline


- ◆ **Titanium Execution Model**
- ◆ **Titanium Memory Model**
- ◆ **Support for Serial Programming**
- ◆ **Performance and Applications**
 - **Serial Performance on pure Java (SciMark)**
 - **Parallel Applications**
 - **Compiler status & usability results**
- ◆ **Compiler/Language Status**

Java Compiled by Titanium Compiler



- Sun JDK 1.4.1_01 (HotSpot(TM) Client VM) for Linux
- IBM J2SE 1.4.0 (Classic VM cxia32140-20020917a, jitc JIT) for 32-bit Linux
- Titaniumc v2.87 for Linux, gcc 3.2 as backend compiler -O3. no bounds check
- gcc 3.2, -O3 (ANSI-C version of the SciMark2 benchmark)


Java Compiled by Titanium Compiler



- Same as previous slide, but using a larger data set
- More cache misses, etc.

Local Pointer Analysis

- ◆ Global pointer access is more expensive than local
- ◆ Compiler analysis can frequently infer that a given global pointer always points locally
 - Replace global pointer with a local one
 - Local Qualification Inference (LQI)
 - Data structures must be well partitioned




Applications in Titanium

- ◆ **Benchmarks and Kernels**
 - Scalable Poisson solver for infinite domains
 - NAS PB: MG, FT, IS, CG
 - Unstructured mesh kernel: EM3D
 - Dense linear algebra: LU, MatMul
 - Tree-structured n-body code
 - Finite element benchmark
- ◆ **Larger applications**
 - Gas Dynamics with AMR
 - Heart and Cochlea simulation (ongoing)
 - Genetics: micro-array selection
 - Ocean modeling with AMR (in progress)


Heart Simulation: Immersed Boundary Method

- ◆ **Problem: compute blood flow in the heart**
 - Modeled as an elastic structure in an incompressible fluid.
 - ◆ The “immersed boundary method” [Peskin and McQueen].
 - ◆ 20 years of development in model
 - Many other applications: blood clotting, inner ear, paper making, embryo growth, and more
- ◆ **Can be used for design of prosthetics**
 - Artificial heart valves
 - Cochlear implants




Performance of IB Code

◆ IBM SP performance (seaborg)




◆ Performance on a PC cluster at Caltech




Error on High-Wavenumber Problem

- ◆ Charge is
 - 1 charge of concentric waves
 - 2 star-shaped charges.
- ◆ Largest error is where the charge is changing rapidly. Note:
 - discretization error
 - faint decomposition error
- ◆ Run on 16 procs



Scalable Parallel Poisson Solver


- ◆ MLC for Finite-Differences by Balls and Colella
- ◆ Poisson equation with infinite boundaries
 - **arise in astrophysics, some biological systems, etc.**
- ◆ Method is scalable
 - **Low communication (<5%)**
- ◆ Performance on
 - **SP2 (shown) and T3E**
 - **scaled speedups**
 - **nearly ideal (flat)**
- ◆ Currently 2D and non-adaptive



AMR Gas Dynamics

- ◆ Hyperbolic Solver [McCorquodale and Colella]
 - Implementation of Berger-Colella algorithm
 - Mesh generation algorithm included

- ◆ 2D Example (3D supported)
 - Mach-10 shock on solid surface at oblique angle



- ◆ Future: 3D Ocean Model based on Chombo algorithms
 - [Wen and Colella]

Outline

- ◆ **Titanium Execution Model**
- ◆ **Titanium Memory Model**
- ◆ **Support for Serial Programming**
- ◆ **Performance and Applications**
- ◆ **Compiler/Language Status**

Titanium Compiler Status

- ◆ **Titanium runs on almost any machine**
 - Requires a C compiler and C++ for the translator
 - Pthreads for shared memory
 - GASNet for distributed memory, which exists on
 - ◆ Quadrics (Elan), IBM/SP (LAPI), Myrinet (GM), Infiniband, UDP, Shem* (Altix and X1), Dolphin* (SCI), and MPI
 - ◆ Shared with Berkeley UPC compiler
- ◆ **Recent language and compiler work**
 - Indexed (scatter/gather) array copy
 - Non-blocking array copy*
 - Loop level cache optimizations
 - Inspector/Executor*

* Work is still in progress

Programmability

- ◆ **Immersed boundary method developed in ~1 year**
 - **Extended to support 2D structures ~1 month**
 - **Reengineered over ~6 months**
- ◆ **Preliminary code length measures**
 - **Simple torus model**
 - ◆ **Serial Fortran torus code is 17045 lines long (2/3 comments)**
 - ◆ **Parallel Titanium torus version is 3057 lines long.**
 - **Full heart model**
 - ◆ **Shared memory Fortran heart code is 8187 lines long**
 - ◆ **Parallel Titanium version is 4249 lines long.**
 - **Need to be analyzed more carefully, but not a significant overhead for distributed memory parallelism**

Titanium and UPC Project Ideas

- ◆ **Past 267 project ideas**
 - **Tree-based N-Body code in Titanium**
 - **Finite element code in Titanium**
- ◆ **Future project ideas for Titanium and UPC**
 - **Splash benchmarks in either language**
 - **Missing NAS benchmarking in Titanium**
 - **Your favorite application**
- ◆ **What makes it interesting?**
 - **Understanding the performance and scalability**
 - ◆ **Why does it perform as it does?**
 - ◆ **Performance model**
 - ◆ **Effectiveness of optimizations in application, runtime, compiler?**

Titanium Group (Past and Present)

- ◆ Susan Graham
- ◆ Katherine Yelick
- ◆ Paul Hilfinger
- ◆ Phillip Colella (LBNL)
- ◆ Alex Aiken
- ◆ Greg Balls
- ◆ Andrew Begel
- ◆ Dan Bonachea
- ◆ Kaushik Datta
- ◆ David Gay
- ◆ Ed Givelberg
- ◆ Arvind Krishnamurthy
- ◆ Ben Liblit
- ◆ Peter McQuorquodale (LBNL)
- ◆ Sabrina Merchant
- ◆ Carleton Miyamoto
- ◆ Chang Sun Lin
- ◆ Geoff Pike
- ◆ Luigi Semenzato (LBNL)
- ◆ Armando Solar-Lezama
- ◆ Jimmy Su
- ◆ Tong Wen (LBNL)
- ◆ Siu Man Yau
- ◆ and many undergraduate researchers

<http://titanium.cs.berkeley.edu>