

SET-PARAMETERED MARTINGALES AND MULTIPLE
STOCHASTIC INTEGRATION

Bruce Hajek and Eugene Wong

Coordinated Sciences Laboratory
University of Illinois at Urbana
and
Electronics Research Laboratory
University of California at Berkeley

Abstract

The starting point of this paper is the problem of representing square-integrable functionals of a multiparameter Wiener process. By embedding the problem in that of representing set-parameter martingales, we show that multiple stochastic integrals of various order arise naturally. Such integrals are defined relative to a collection of sets that satisfies certain regularity conditions. The classic cases of multiple Wiener integral and Ito integral (as well as its generalization by Wong-Zakai-Yor) are recovered by specializing the collection of sets appropriately.

Using the multiple stochastic integrals, we obtain a martingale representation theorem of considerable generality. An exponential formula and its application to the representation of likelihood ratios are also studied.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{R}^n denote the collection of all Borel sets in \mathbb{R}^n with finite Lebesgue measure (denoted by μ). Define a Wiener process $\{W(A), A \in \mathcal{R}^n\}$ as a family of Gaussian random variables with zero mean and

$$(1.1) \quad EW(A)W(B) = \mu(A \cap B)$$

As a set-parameter process, $W(A)$ is additive, i.e.,

$$(1.2) \quad W(A+B) = W(A) + W(B) \quad , \text{ a.s.}$$

where $A + B$ denotes the union of disjoint sets, and intuitively, we can view $W(A)$ as the integral over A of a Gaussian white noise.

The connection with white noise renders the Wiener process important in applications as well as theory. Consider for example, the following signal detection problem.

A process ξ_t is observed on $t \in T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and we have to decide between the possibilities: (a) ξ_t contains a random signal Z_t plus an additive Gaussian white noise and (b) ξ_t contains only noise.

Formulated so as to avoid the pathologies of "white noise," the problem can be stated as follows: Let $\{W(A), A \in \mathcal{R}^n(T)\}$ be a set-parameter process, with parameter space $\mathcal{R}^n(T) = \{\text{Borel subsets of } T\}$, and defined on a fixed measurable space (Ω, \mathcal{F}) . Let P' and P be two probability measures such that (a) under P' $W(A) - \int_A Z_t dt$ is a Wiener process independent of $\{Z_t, t \in T\}$, (b) under P $W(A)$ is a Wiener process.

Now, let \mathcal{F}_W denote the σ -field generated by the process W , and let P'_W and P''_W denote the respective probability measures restricted to \mathcal{F}_W . If $\int_T Z_t^2 dt < \infty$; a.s., then $P'_W \ll P''_W$ and the detection problem in most cases

reduces to one of computing the likelihood ratio

$$(1.3) \quad \Lambda = \frac{dP'_W}{dP_W}$$

in terms of the observed process W .

With respect to the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) $\{W(A), A \in \mathcal{R}^n(T)\}$ is a Wiener process. Hence, Λ is a positive integrable functional of a Wiener process. Computing Λ in terms of W is a problem that can be embedded in a more general one of finding representations of a Wiener functional, which in turn can be embedded (and illuminated in the process) in a still more general problem of representing martingales generated by a Wiener process.

For a random variable Y that is a square-integrable functional of a Wiener process $\{W(A), A \in \mathcal{R}^n(T)\}$, several representations already exist. The first is the Hermite-Wiener series of Cameron and Martin [1]. The second is in terms of the multiple Wiener integrals as defined by Ito [5]. The third is in terms of the Ito integral [4], and its generalization as defined by Wong and Zakai [8] and Yor [10]. In the last representation the concept of martingales plays a crucial role.

For processes with a multidimensional parameter, it is both more natural and more general to define martingales for processes parameterized by sets rather than points in \mathbb{R}^n . Let $C \subset \mathcal{R}^n(T)$ be a collection of closed sets. Let $\{F(A), A \in C\}$ be a family of σ -fields such that $A \supseteq B \Rightarrow F(A) \supseteq F(B)$. Let $\{M(A), A \in C\}$ be a set-parameter process. We say that $\{M(A), F(A), A \in C\}$ is a martingale if

$$E(M(A)|F(B)) = M(B) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

whenever $A \supset B$. Let $\{W(A), A \in \mathcal{R}^n(T)\}$ be a Wiener process and denote

$$F_W(A) = \sigma(\{W(B), B \subset A\})$$

The main object of this paper is to show that under very general conditions on C , there is a canonical representation of all square-integrable martingales with respect to $\{F_W(A), A \in C\}$, and hence representation for square integrable Wiener functionals. For $C = \{\text{all closed sets}\}$ the representation reduces to that of multiple Wiener integrals. For $C = \{\text{all closed rectangles in } \mathbb{R}_+^n \text{ with the origin as one corner}\}$ the representations of Ito, Wong-Zakai, and Yor are recovered. These two are in a sense limiting cases, and between them lies a vast spectrum of choices for C , giving rise to an equally large array of representations for C -martingales and Wiener functionals.

The key to these representations is to define multiple stochastic integrals of the form

$$\int_{T^m} \phi(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m) W(dt_1) \dots W(dt_m)$$

where ϕ are (in general) random integrands C -adapted in a suitable sense to be defined later.

The basic ideas underlying this paper were first introduced in the dissertation [3].

2. Multiple Stochastic Integrals

Let C be a collection of Borel subsets of a fixed rectangle T . Given sets $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m \in \mathcal{R}^n(T)$, we shall define their support in C by

$$(2.1) \quad S_{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m} = \cap \{B = B \in C \text{ and } B \cap A_i \neq \phi \text{ for every } i\}$$

If t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m are points in T , their support will be written as

S_{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m} . We say t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m are C-independent if no point is contained in the support of the remaining ones.

For $C = \mathcal{R}^n(T)$, S_{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m} is just $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ so that C-independent mean "distinct". For $C = \{T_t, t \in T \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n\}$ where T_t denotes the rectangle bounded by the origin and t , S_{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m} is the smallest T_t that contains t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m , and C-independent means "pairwise unordered".

For $C = \{\text{all convex sets in } T\}$, S_{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m} is the convex hull of $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$, and C-independent means t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m are extreme points of their convex hull. More examples will be given later.

Let \hat{T}^m denote the subset of C-independent points in $T^m \subset \mathbb{R}^{mn}$. For a fixed n and C , \hat{T}^m may be vacuous for sufficiently large m . For example, if $C = \{T_t\}$ is the collection of rectangles bounded by the origin and $t \in T \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n$, then \hat{T}^m is empty for $m > n$. That is, no more than n points can be C-independent.

Let (Ω, F, P) be a fixed probability space. Let $\{F(A), A \in C\}$ be a family of σ -subfields parameterized by sets in $C \subset \mathcal{R}^n(T)$. Let $\{W(A), A \in \mathcal{R}^n(T)\}$ be a Wiener process such that: (a) $A \subset B \Rightarrow W(A)$ is $F(B)$ -measurable, and (b) $A \cap B = \emptyset \Rightarrow \{W(A'), A' \subset A\}$ is $F(B)$ -independent. We shall assume the following conditions on C :

(C₁) For every collection of rectangles A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m such that

$$\prod_{i=1}^m A_i \subset \hat{T}^m$$

$$\mu(A_i \cap S_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_m}) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

(c₂) For each $m \geq 1$, the mapping

$$t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m) \rightsquigarrow S_t$$

is a continuous map from T^m to the collection of sets that are compact under the metric

$$(2.2) \quad \rho(A, B) = (\max_{x \in A} \min_{y \in B} |x-y| + \max_{x \in B} \min_{y \in A} |x-y|)$$

(c₃) For each $m \geq 1$ and for almost all $t \in T^m$

$$\mu(S_t - \bigcup_{\epsilon > 0} S_{B(\epsilon, t_1), B(\epsilon, t_2), \dots, B(\epsilon, t_m)}) = 0$$

when $B(\epsilon, t_i)$ denotes the ball with radius ϵ centered at t_i .

For a C satisfying conditions $c_1 - c_3$, we shall define multiple stochastic integrals of order m

$$(2.3) \quad \phi \circ W^m = \int_{\hat{T}^m} \phi_t W(dt_1) \dots W(dt_m)$$

for integrands $\phi(t, \omega)$, $(t, \omega) \in \hat{T}^m \times \Omega$, satisfying

(h₁) ϕ is $F \times \mu^m$ -measurable

(h₂) For each $t \in \hat{T}^m$ ϕ_t is $F(S_t)$ -measurable.

(h₃) $\int_{\hat{T}^m} E\phi_t^2 dt < \infty$

The space of functions satisfying $h_1 - h_3$ will be denoted by $L_a^2(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$.

Call ϕ atomic if $\phi(t, \omega) = \alpha(\omega) I_A(t)$ where I_A is the indication function of a product of rectangles $A = \prod_{i=1}^m A_i$ such that $A \subset \hat{T}^m$. Two atomic functions

$$(2.4) \quad \phi(t, \omega) = \alpha(\omega) I_A(t) \quad , \quad A \subset \hat{T}^m$$

$$\theta(t, \omega) = \beta(\omega) I_B(t) \quad , \quad B \subset \hat{T}^p$$

are said to be comparable if each pair (A_i, B_j) is either equal or disjoint modulo sets of zero Lebesgue measure, and similar if $m = p$ and

(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m) is a permutation of (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m) . Call ϕ simple if

$$\phi = \sum_{k=1}^K \phi_k \quad \text{and each } \phi_k \text{ is atomic.}$$

For an atomic function ϕ define

$$(2.5) \quad \phi \circ W^m = \alpha \prod_{i=1}^m W(A_i)$$

So define, $\phi \circ W^m$ has the following property:

Lemma 2.1. Let ϕ and θ be comparable atomic functions in $L_a^2(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$ and $L_a^2(\hat{T}^p \times \Omega)$ of the form (2.4). Then

$$(2.6) \quad E(\phi \circ W^m) (\theta \circ W^p) = 0$$

unless ϕ and θ are similar. In the latter case,

$$(2.7) \quad E(\phi \circ W^m) (\theta \circ W^m) = \int_{\hat{T}^m} E \tilde{\theta}_t \tilde{\phi}_t dt \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \langle \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\theta} \rangle$$

where $\tilde{\phi}$ denotes the symmetrization of ϕ , i.e.,

$$(2.8) \quad \tilde{\phi}_t = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\Pi} \phi_{\Pi}(t) \quad , \quad \Pi(t) = \text{permutation of } t$$

Proof: First, assume ϕ and θ to be similar. Then,

$$(\phi \circ W^m) (\theta \circ W^m) = \alpha \beta \prod_{i=1}^m W^2(A_i)$$

and $\alpha\beta$ is measurable with respect to $F(S_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_m})$. Therefore, condition c_1 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} E[(\phi \circ W^m)(\theta \circ W^m) | F(S_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_m})] \\ &= \alpha\beta \prod_{i=1}^m E W^2(A_i) \\ &= \alpha\beta \prod_{i=1}^m \mu(A_i) \end{aligned}$$

and (2.7) follows.

Next, suppose that ϕ and θ are comparable but not similar. With no loss of generality assume $m \geq p$. Consider two possibilities:

(a) There exists a B_j (say B_1) such that

$$\mu(B_1 \cap [\bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i \cup S_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_m}]) = 0$$

(b) For every $j \leq p$

$$\mu(B_j \cap [\bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i \cup S_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_m}]) \neq 0$$

For case (a), let

$$D = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i \cup \bigcup_{j=2}^p B_j \cup S_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_m} \cup S_{B_1 B_2 \dots B_p}$$

Then, with probability 1

$$E[(\phi \circ W^m)(\theta \circ W^p) | F(D)] = \alpha\beta \prod_{i=1}^m W(A_i) \prod_{j=2}^p W(B_j) [EW(B_1)] = 0$$

and (2.6) is verified.

For case (b) we shall prove that $S_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_m} \supset S_{B_1 B_2 \dots B_p}$. Since ϕ and θ are comparable but not similar and $m \geq p$, there must exist an A_i (say A_1) such that $\mu(A_1 \cap B_j) = 0$ for every j . Hence, $W(A_1)$ is independent of $\alpha \beta \prod_{i=2}^m W(A_i) \prod_{j=1}^p W(B_j)$ and (2.6) is again proved.

To prove $S_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_m} \supset S_{B_1 B_2 \dots B_p}$ for case (b), let $D \in C$ be any set such that

$$D \cap A_i \neq \phi \text{ for every } i$$

then, $D \supset S_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_m}$ by definition. The defining condition for case (b) implies that for each j

$$\text{either } B_j \cap \bigcup_i A_i \neq \phi$$

which implies $B_j = A_i$ for some i

which in turn implies $D \cap B_j \neq \phi$

$$\text{or } B_j \cap S_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_m} = \phi$$

which implies $D \cap B_j \neq \phi$

Therefore,

$$D \cap A_i \neq \phi \text{ for every } i \Rightarrow D \cap B_j \neq \phi \text{ for every } j$$

$$\text{and } S_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_m} \supset S_{B_1 B_2 \dots B_p} \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.2. For atomic functions ϕ and θ that are not necessarily comparable, we can write

$$(2.9) \quad \phi = \sum_{k=1}^K \phi_k$$

$$\phi = \sum_{\lambda=1}^L \theta_\lambda$$

where ϕ_k, θ_λ are atomic and the set $\{\phi_k, \theta_\lambda\}$ is pairwise comparable. For any atomic ϕ and θ in L_a^2 the isometry

$$(2.10) \quad E(\phi \circ W^m) (\theta \circ W^p) = \delta_{mp} \langle \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\theta} \rangle$$

holds.

Proof: ϕ and θ , being atomic, are of the form

$$\phi = \alpha I_{A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_m}$$

$$\theta = \beta I_{B_1 \times B_2 \times \dots \times B_p}$$

where $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m, B_1, \dots, B_p$ are rectangles in T . Since a union of rectangles is always a union of disjoint rectangles, there exist disjoint rectangles D_1, D_2, \dots, D_q such that each A_i or B_j is the union of some of the D_v 's. Hence (2.9) follows, with

$$\phi_k = \alpha I_{D_{k1} \times D_{k2} \times \dots \times D_{km}}$$

$$\theta_\lambda = \beta I_{D_{\lambda 1} \times D_{\lambda 2} \times \dots \times D_{\lambda p}}$$

where $D_{ki} \subset A_i$ and $D_{\lambda j} \subset B_j$ for every i and j . It follows that α is $F(S_{D_{k1} D_{k2} \dots D_{km}})$ -measurable and β is $F(S_{D_{\lambda 1} D_{\lambda 2} \dots D_{\lambda p}})$ -measurable for each k and λ . From lemma 2.1 we have

$$E(\phi_k \circ W^m) (\phi_\lambda \circ W^p) = \delta_{mp} \langle \tilde{\phi}_k, \tilde{\theta}_\lambda \rangle$$

and (2.10) follows from the bilinearity of $\langle \quad \rangle$. □

Lemma 2.3. Under conditions c_2 and c_3 the subset of simple functions is dense in $L_a^2(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$.

A proof of this result is given in the appendix A.

Theorem 2.1. There is a unique linear map denoted by $\phi \circ W^m$ of $\phi \in L_a^2(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$ into the space of square-integrable random variables such that

(a) For an atomic function $\phi = \alpha I_A$

$$\phi \circ W^m = \alpha \prod_1 W(A_i)$$

(b) Symmetry:

$$\phi \circ W^m = \tilde{\phi} \circ W^m$$

(c) Isometry:

$$E(\phi \circ W^m)(\theta \circ W^p) = \langle \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\theta} \rangle \delta_{mp}$$

Proof: First, any simple function ϕ is by definition of the form

$\phi = \sum_{k=1}^K \phi_k$, where ϕ_k are atomic. Bilinearity of $\langle \quad \rangle$ then implies the isometry (2.10) for simple functions ϕ and θ . Let ϕ be any function from $L_a^2(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$. Lemma 3.2 implies that there exists a sequence $\{\phi^{(n)}\}$ of simple functions such that

$$\phi^{(n)} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{L_a^2} \phi$$

Hence, $\{\phi^{(n)}\}$ is Cauchy. The isometry (2.10) then implies that $\{\phi^{(n)} \circ W^m\}$ is mean-square convergent as a sequence of random variables, and we take the limit to be $\phi \circ W^m$. Verification of the properties follows from the isometric property in a straightforward way. \square

Remark: Observe that the isometry property of the multiple stochastic integral implies uniqueness up to equivalence of integrand. That is, if $\phi \circ W^m = \theta \circ W^m$ then

$$\|\phi - \theta\|^2 = \int_{\tilde{T}^m} E(\tilde{\phi}_t - \tilde{\theta}_t)^2 dt = 0$$

Theorem 2.2. (Projection) For any $B \in \mathcal{R}^n(T)$

$$(2.12) \quad E(\phi \circ W^m | F(B)) = E(\phi | F(B)) I_{B^m} \circ W^m$$

Proof: It is enough to prove this for an atomic ϕ . Let $\phi = \alpha I_{A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_m}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E(\phi \circ W^m | F(B)) &= E(\alpha \prod_{i=1}^m W(A_i) | F(B)) \\ &= E(\alpha E[\prod_{i=1}^m W(A_i) | F(B \cup S_{A_1 \dots A_m})] | F(B)) \\ &= E(\alpha \prod_{i=1}^m W(A_i \cap B) | F(B)) \\ &= E(\alpha | F(B)) \prod_{i=1}^m W(A_i \cap B) \\ &= E(\phi | F(B)) I_{B^m} \circ W^m \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Corollary. If $B \in \mathcal{C}$ then

$$E(\phi \circ W^m | F(B)) = \phi I_{B^m} \circ W^m$$

Proof: If $B \in \mathcal{C}$ then $t_i \in B$ for each i implies $B \supset S_{t_1 t_2 \dots t_m}$. Hence, $t \in B^m \Rightarrow \phi_t$ is $F(B)$ -measurable and $E(\phi | F(B)) I_{B^m} = \phi I_{B^m}$ a.s. \square

Let $\{(\phi \circ W^m)_B, B \in C\}$ be the set-parameterized process defined by

$$(\phi \circ W^m)_B = \phi \circ I_{B^m} \circ W^m$$

Then the corollary to Theorem 2.2 implies that $\{(\phi \circ W^m)_B, B \in C\}$ is a martingale. We shall call $(\phi \circ W^m)_B$ the indefinite integral of $\phi \circ W^m$.

3. Relationship with Multiple Wiener Integrals and Representation of Wiener Functionals

Let \tilde{T}^m denote the set of m -tuples of distinct points in T . Let $\theta(t), t \in \tilde{T}^m$ satisfy

$$\int_{\tilde{T}^m} \theta^2(t) dt < \infty$$

Let $\theta \circ W^m$ denote a multiple Wiener integral of order m .

Theorem 3.1. For a given C satisfying condition $c_1 - c_3$, a multiple Wiener integral can be represented as

$$(3.1) \quad \theta \circ W^m = \sum_{k=1}^m \binom{m}{k} \theta_k \circ W^k$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad \theta_k(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k, \omega) = (\tilde{\theta}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k, \cdot)) I_{S_{t_1 t_2 \dots t_k}^{m-k}} (\cdot) \circ W^{m-k}(\omega)$$

and $\theta_k \circ W^k$ is a multiple stochastic integral defined relative to C .

Proof: Let $\Pi\theta$ denote the transformation of θ by a permutation of its arguments. Suppose for some permutation Π

$$\Pi\theta = I_{A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_m}$$

where A_1, \dots, A_k are C -independent rectangles and A_{k+1}, \dots, A_m are distinct rectangles contained in $S_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_k}$. Then, symmetry implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta \circ W^m &= \Pi \theta \circ W^m = \left[\prod_{i=k+1}^m W(A_i) \right] \prod_{i=1}^k W(A_i) \\ &= h_k \circ W^k \end{aligned}$$

when

$$\begin{aligned} h_k(t_1, \dots, t_k, \omega) &= I_{A_1 \times \dots \times A_k}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k) [I_{A_{k+1} \times \dots \times A_m} \circ W^{m-k}](\omega) \\ &= \Pi \theta(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k, \cdot) \circ W^{m-k} \end{aligned}$$

The isometry of multiple stochastic integrals implies that both k and the two sets $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k\}$ and $\{A_{k+1}, A_{k+2}, \dots, A_m\}$ are unique. The integer k is unique because otherwise we would have

$$E(\theta \circ W^m)^2 = E(h_k \circ W^k) (h_{k'} \circ W^{k'}) = 0$$

The collection $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k\}$ is unique because otherwise we would have

$$\theta \circ W^m = h_k \circ W^k = g_k \circ W^k$$

and $h_k g_k \equiv 0$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\text{all } \Pi} [(\Pi \theta)(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k, \cdot) I_{S_{t_1 t_2 \dots t_k}}^{m-k} (\cdot) \circ W^{m-k}] \circ W^k \\ &= k!(m-k)! \theta \circ W^m \\ &= m! \theta_k \circ W^k \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\theta_k(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k, \omega) = [\tilde{\theta}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k, \cdot) I_{S_{t_1 t_2 \dots t_k}^{m-k}}(\cdot) \circ W^{m-k}] (\omega)$$

Hence,

$$\theta \circ W^m = \binom{m}{k} \theta_k \circ W^k$$

In appendix B, it is proved that linear combinations of such θ 's are dense in $L^2(T^m)$. Thus, the theorem is proved. \square

Corollary 1. Let $F_W(A)$ denote the σ -field generated by $\{W(B), B \subset A\}$. Then, every square-integrable $F_W(T)$ -measurable random variable Z has a representation of the form

$$(3.3) \quad Z = EZ + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Z_m \circ W^m$$

where $Z_m \circ W^m$ are stochastic integrals defined with respect to the same C that satisfies conditions $c_1 - c_3$.

Proof: This corollary follows immediately from the main theorem and the well known result [5] that Z has a representation in a series of multiple Wiener integrals.

Corollary 2. For $f \in L^2(T)$, define

$$(3.4) \quad \hat{f}^m(t_1, \dots, t_m) = \prod_{i=1}^m f(t_i)$$

and set

$$(3.5) \quad W_m(f, A) = (\hat{f}^m \circ W^m)_A$$

Then, for $A \in C$

$$(3.6) \quad W_m(f, A) = \sum_{k=1}^m \binom{m}{k} [\hat{f}^k(\cdot) W_{m-k}(f, S_\cdot) \circ W^k]_A$$

Proof: Observe that \hat{f}^k is symmetric and

$$\hat{f}^m(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m) = \hat{f}^k(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k) \hat{f}^{m-k}(t_{k+1}, \dots, t_m)$$

Hence, (3.1) yields (3.6) for $A = T$, and the rest follows from the projection property (Theorem 2.2).

Corollary 3. For $f \in L^2(T)$ define

$$(3.7) \quad L(f, A) = \exp\{(f \square W)_A - \frac{1}{2} \int_A f^2(t) dt\}$$

Then, for $A \in \mathcal{C}$

$$(3.8) \quad L(f, A) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} [\hat{f}^m(\cdot) L(f, S_\cdot) \circ W^m]_A$$

Proof: For multiple Wiener integrals ($\mathcal{C} = \{\text{all closed sets}\}$) (3.8) reduces to

$$(3.9) \quad L(f, A) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} W_m(f, A)$$

which is well known [5]. For the general case, we use (3.6) in (3.9) and write

$$L(f, A) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{k=1}^m \binom{m}{k} [\hat{f}^k W_{m-k}(f, S_\cdot) \circ W^k]_A$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} [\hat{f}^k \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} W_j(f, S.) \circ W^k]_A$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} [\hat{f}^k L(f, S.) \circ W^k]_A \quad \square$$

The expansion formula (3.8) for exponentials of the form (3.7) can be extended with the Wiener integral $f \square W$ in the exponent being replaced by a stochastic integral $f \circ W$. The result can be stated as follows:

Proposition 3.2. Equation (3.8) remains valid for $f \in L_a^2(T \times \Omega)$ such that f is bounded.

Proof: Define f to be a discrete simple function if f is a simple function

$$f(t, \omega) = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i(\omega) I_{A_i}(t)$$

such that $P(\alpha_j \in J) = 1$ for some finite set J . Such a function may be written as $f(t, \omega) = g(t, \alpha(\omega))$ where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ and

$$g(t, c) = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i I_{A_i}(t) \text{ for } c \in J^k.$$

Then $g(\cdot, c) \in L^2(T)$ for each $c \in J^k$ so by Corollary 3 of Theorem 3.1,

$$(3.10) \quad L(g(\cdot, c), A) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} [\hat{g}^m(\cdot, c) L(g(\cdot, c), S.) \circ W^m]_A.$$

This equality holds in $L^2(\Omega, F, P)$ for each $c \in J^k$ and hence it continues to hold in $L^2(\Omega, F, P)$ if c is replaced by the random vector $\alpha(\omega)$. By proposition C in appendix C, replacing c by $\alpha(\omega)$ in the stochastic integrals is equivalent to replacing c by $\alpha(\omega)$ in each of the integrands

and then forming the stochastic integrals. (To apply proposition C to the m th term on the right of (3.10), let $B_i = S_{A_i}$). This verifies equation (3.8) if f is a discrete simple function.

Conclude that $E[L(f,A)] = 1$ if f is discrete and simple. Moreover, if $p \geq 1$ and $|f(\cdot, \cdot)| \leq \Gamma$ for some constant Γ , then

$$\begin{aligned} L(f,A)^p &= L(pf,A) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}(p^2-p) \int_A f(t)^2 dt\right) \\ &\leq L(pf,A) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}(p^2-p)\Gamma^2 \mu(T)\right) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(3.11) \quad E[L(f,A)^p] \leq \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}(p^2-p)\Gamma^2 \mu(T)\right)$$

Now choose any $f \in L_a^2(T \times \Omega)$ with $|f(\omega, t)| \leq \Gamma$. Then there is a sequence of discrete simple functions $f_j \rightarrow f$ in $L_a^2(T \times \Omega)$ such that $|f_j(\omega, t)| \leq \Gamma$ for each j . Hence $(f_j \circ W)_A \rightarrow (f \circ W)_A$ a.s. in $L^2(\Omega)$ so that taking a subsequence if necessary, we can assume that $(f_j \circ W)_A \rightarrow (f \circ W)_A$ with probability one. Thus $L(f_j, A) \rightarrow L(f, A)$ with probability one. By the estimate (3.11), the collection of random variables $\{L(f_j, A)^p; p \geq 1\}$ is uniformly integrable for each $p \geq 1$ so that $L(f_j, A) \rightarrow L(f, A)$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ for each $p > 1$. Moreover, $\hat{f}_j^m \rightarrow \hat{f}^m$ in $L_a^p(\hat{T}^m; \Omega)$ for each $p \geq 1$ since these functions are uniformly bounded. Now (3.8) is true for f replaced by f_j , and it is then easily verified for f by taking the limit in $L^2(\Omega)$ term by term as $j \rightarrow +\infty$. □

4. A Likelihood Ratio Formula

Let $\{Z_t, t \in T\}$ be a bounded process defined on (Ω, F, P) and let $\{W(A), A \subset T\}$ be a Wiener process defined on the same space. Let $F(A) = \sigma(\{W(B), B \subset A\}, \{Z_t, t \in A\})$. We assume that $A \cap A' = \emptyset \Rightarrow W(A')$ in $F(A)$ -independent. For any collection C the support

S_t contains t . Hence, Z_t is $F(S_t)$ measurable. For any C satisfying $c_1 - c_3$, the stochastic integral $Z \circ W$ is well-defined.

Now, let P' be a measure on (Ω, F) defined by:

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{dP'}{dP} = \exp\{Z \circ W - \frac{1}{2} Z^2 \circ \mu\}$$

and set

$$(4.2) \quad L(Z, A) = \exp\{(Z \circ W)_A - \frac{1}{2} (Z^2 \circ \mu)_A\}$$

For any C satisfying $c_1 - c_3$, proposition 3.2 yields

$$(4.3) \quad L(Z, A) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} [Z^m(\cdot) L(Z, S_\cdot) \circ W^m]_A$$

It follows that

$$(4.4) \quad L(Z, A) = E\left(\frac{dP'}{dP} \mid F(A)\right)$$

and P' is a probability measure.

Next, let $F_W(A) = \sigma(\{W(B), B \subset A\})$, and define the likelihood ratio by

$$(4.5) \quad \Lambda(A) = E\left(\frac{dP'}{dP} \mid F_W(A)\right)$$

We shall use (4.3) to derive an expression for $\Lambda(A)$.

Proposition 4.1. Let $t \in \hat{T}^m$ and define

$$(4.6) \quad \tilde{Z}_m(t) = E'(Z(t_1)Z(t_2)\dots Z(t_m) \mid F_W(S_{t_1 t_2 \dots t_m}))$$

Then the likelihood ratio is given by

$$(4.7) \quad \Lambda(A) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} [\tilde{Z}_m(\cdot)\Lambda(S_\cdot) \circ W^m]$$

Proof: We begin by writing

$$\Lambda(A) = E[L(Z,A)|F_W(A)]$$

and using (4.3). Observe that with P -measure 1,

$$E[\hat{Z}^m(\cdot)L(Z,S_\cdot) \circ W^m]_A | F_W(A) \} = E[\hat{Z}^m(\cdot)L(Z,S_\cdot) | F_W(A)] \circ W^m$$

Now, for $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m) \in A^m$,

$$\begin{aligned} & E[\hat{Z}^m(t)L(Z,S_t) | F_W(A)] \\ &= E[Z(t_1)Z(t_2)\dots Z(t_m)L(Z,S_{t_1 t_2 \dots t_m}) | F_W(A)] \\ &= E[Z(t_1)Z(t_2)\dots Z(t_m)L(Z,S_{t_1 t_2 \dots t_m}) | F_W(S_{t_1 t_2 \dots t_m})] \\ &= \Lambda(S_{t_1 \dots t_m}) E'[Z(t_1)\dots Z(t_m) | F_W(S_{t_1 \dots t_m})] \\ &= \tilde{Z}_m(t) \Lambda(S_t) \end{aligned}$$

and (4.7) follows. □

Two special cases are of particular interest. First, let $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a fixed unit vector (i.e., $\|a\| = 1$) and let H_α denote the half space $\{t \in \mathbb{R}^n : (t,a) \geq \alpha\}$. Then, the collection $C = \{H_\alpha \cap T\}$ is a one-parameter family of sets such that \hat{T}^m is vacuous for $m > 1$. That is, two or more points are always C -dependent. In this case the likelihood ratio formula reduces to

$$\Lambda(A) = 1 + [\tilde{Z}_1(\cdot)\Lambda(S_\cdot) \circ W]_A, \quad A \in C$$

and an application of (3.8) yields

$$(4.8) \quad \Lambda(A) = L(\tilde{Z}_1, A) = \exp\{(\tilde{Z}_1 \circ W - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{Z}_1^2 \circ \mu)_A\}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z}_1(t) &= E'(Z(t) | F_W(S_t)) \\ &= E'(Z(t) | F_W(H(t, a) \cap T)) \end{aligned}$$

In this case we see that the likelihood ratio is expressible as an exponential of the conditional mean.

The second case of special interest results from taking $C = \{\text{all closed sets in } T\}$. For this case

$$S_{t_1 t_2 \dots t_m} = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$$

Hence, with P -measure 1

$$\Lambda(S_{t_1 t_2 \dots t_m}) = 1$$

and

$$\tilde{Z}_m(t) = E'[Z(t_1) \dots Z(t_m)]$$

Furthermore, if we assume that Z and W are independent processes under P then Z is identically distributed under P' . Hence, for that case we can write

$$(4.9) \quad \Lambda(A) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} (\rho_m \circ W^m)_A$$

where ρ_m is the m th moment

$$(4.10) \quad \rho_m(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m) = E[Z(t_1) \dots Z(t_m)] \quad .$$

Equation (4.9) provides a martingale representation of the likelihood ratio for the "additive white Gaussian noise" model under very general conditions. In the one-dimensional case, it was recently obtained in [7].

Equation (4.7) is an integral equation in that Λ occurs on both sides. In special cases [2,6,9] the equation can be converted to yield an exponential formula for Λ in terms of conditional moments.

References

1. Cameron, R. H., Martin, W. T.: The orthogonal development of non-linear functionals in a series of Fourier-Hermite functions. *Ann. of Math.* 48, 385-392 (1947).
2. Duncan, T. E.: Likelihood functions for stochastic signals in white noise. *Inform. Contr.* 16, 303-310 (1970).
3. Hajek, B. E.: Stochastic Integration, Markov Property and Measure Transformation of Random Fields. Ph.D. dissertation, Berkeley, 1979.
4. Ito, K.: Stochastic integrals. *Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo* 20, 519-524 (1944).
5. Ito, K.: Multiple Wiener Integral. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 3, 157-169 (1951).
6. Kailath, T.: A general likelihood-ratio formula for random signals in Gaussian noise. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Th.* 15, 350-361 (1969).
7. Mitter, S. K., Ocone, D.: Multiple integral expansion for nonlinear filtering. *Proc. 18th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, 1979.
8. Wong, E., Zakai, M.: Martingales and Stochastic integrals for processes with a multi-dimensional parameter. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie* 29, 109-122 (1974).
9. Wong, E., Zakai, M.: Likelihood ratios and transformation of probability associated with two-parameter Wiener processes. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie* 40, 283-309 (1977).
10. Yor, M.: Representation des martingales de carré intégrable relative aux processus de Wiener et de Poisson à n paramètres. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie* 35, 121-129 (1976).

Appendix A: Proof that Simple Functions are Dense

The purpose of this appendix is to prove the following proposition:

Proposition A. Conditions c_2 and c_3 imply that the space of simple functions is dense in $L^2_a(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$ for each $m \geq 1$.

Proof: We begin by introducing some additional notation. For $\epsilon > 0$ and $t = (t_1, \dots, t_m) \in \hat{T}^m$, define the ϵ -support of t by

$$S_t^\epsilon = S_{B(\epsilon, t_1)} B(\epsilon, t_2) \dots B(\epsilon, t_m) \quad ,$$

where $B(\epsilon, t_i)$ denotes a ball with radius ϵ and center t_i , and define $S_t^{(-)} = \bigcup_{\epsilon > 0} S_t^\epsilon$. Define $L^2_\epsilon(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$ the same way as $L^2_a(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$ but with condition h_2 replaced by the stronger condition: (h_2^ϵ) for each $t \in \hat{T}^m$, ϕ_t is $F(S_t^\epsilon)$ -measurable. Finally, let $C_\epsilon(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$ be the subspace of $L^2_\epsilon(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$ consisting of $\phi \in L^2_\epsilon(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$ such that $\phi(\cdot, \omega)$ is continuous on \hat{T}^m with probability one.

Proposition A is a consequence of the following sequence of lemmas.

□

Lemma A.1. $\bigcup_{\epsilon > 0} L^2_\epsilon(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$ is dense in $L^2_a(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$ under conditions c_2 and c_3 .

Proof: Let $f \in L^2_a(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$ be bounded by a constant $\Gamma > 0$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a Borel measurable mapping $u(\cdot, \epsilon)$ of the open set \hat{T}^m into a finite subset of \hat{T}^m such that $|u(\underline{x}, \epsilon) - \underline{x}| < \epsilon$ for all $\underline{x} \in \hat{T}^m$. Define

$$f^\epsilon(s) = E[f(s) | F(S_{u(s, \epsilon)}^{2\epsilon})] \quad s \in \hat{T}^m$$

A version of $f^\epsilon(s)$ can be chosen for each s so that f^ϵ is a jointly measurable function of (s, ω) . Indeed, for each fixed $t \in \hat{T}^m$ there exist versions of

$$g^\varepsilon(s, t) = E[f^\varepsilon(s) | F(S_t^{2\varepsilon})]$$

which are jointly measurable functions of (s, ε) , and then $g^\varepsilon(s, u(s, \varepsilon))$ is a jointly measurable version of $f^\varepsilon(x)$. Also, f^ε can be assumed to be bounded by Γ . For each $s \in \hat{T}^m$, $f^\varepsilon(s)$ is measurable with respect to

$$F(S_{u(x, \varepsilon)}^{2\varepsilon}) \subset F(S_s^\varepsilon)$$

so that $f^\varepsilon \in L^2_\varepsilon(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$.

Since $S_s^{3\varepsilon} \subset S_{u(s, \varepsilon)}^{2\varepsilon} \subset S_s^\varepsilon$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} S_{u(s, \varepsilon)}^{2\varepsilon} = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} S_s^\varepsilon = S_s^{(-)}$ for each $s \in \hat{T}^m$. By the continuity of σ -fields generated by the Wiener process, $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} F(S_s^\varepsilon) = F(S_s^{(-)})$. Then, by L^2 -martingale convergence, for each $s \in \hat{T}^m$,

$$\begin{aligned} E[(f(s) - f^\varepsilon(s))^2] &= E(E[f(s) | F(R_s)] - E[f(s) | F(R_{u(s, \varepsilon)}^{2\varepsilon})]) \\ &\rightarrow_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} E(E[f(s) | F(R_s)] - E[f(s) | F(R_s^{(-})}]) \end{aligned}$$

By condition c_3 , $\mu(R_\varepsilon - R_s^{(-)}) = 0$ and so also $E[(f(s) - f^\varepsilon(s))^2] \rightarrow 0$, for a.e. $s \in \hat{T}^m$. Since $(f(s) - f^\varepsilon(s))^2 \leq 4\Gamma^2$,

$$\|f - f^\varepsilon\|^2 = \int_{\hat{T}^m} E[(f(s) - f^\varepsilon(s))^2] ds \rightarrow 0$$

by the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem. Thus, any bounded function $f \in L^2_a(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$ is the limit of functions in $\cup_{\varepsilon > 0} L^2_\varepsilon(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$. Since the bounded functions in $L^2_a(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$ are dense in $L^2_a(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$, the lemma is established. \square

Lemma A.2. $\cup_{\varepsilon > 0} C_\varepsilon(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$ is dense in $\cup_{\varepsilon > 0} L^2_\varepsilon(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$.

Proof: Let $f \in L^2_{2\epsilon}(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$ be bounded by some constant $\Gamma > 0$. Choose $V \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{mn})$ such that $V \geq 0$, $V(x) = 0$ if $|x| \geq 1$, and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V(x) dx = 1$.

For $\delta > 0$, define $V^\delta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{mn})$ by $V^\delta(x) = (\frac{1}{\delta})^{mn} V(\frac{x}{\delta})$ and define a function f^δ on \hat{T}^m by the convolution: $f^\delta(\cdot, \omega) = V^\delta * f(\cdot, \omega)$ for each fixed ω . Here the function $f(\cdot, \omega)$, which is a priori defined on $\hat{T}^m \subset T^m \subset (\mathbb{R}^n)^m \cong \mathbb{R}^{mn}$, is extended to a function on all of \mathbb{R}^{mn} by the convention $f(\underline{s}, \omega) = 0$ if $\underline{s} \notin \hat{T}^m$. Note that f^δ is bounded by Γ and sample continuous, and since $V(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq \delta$, $f^\delta \in C_{2\epsilon-\delta}(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$.

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - f^\delta\|^2 &= E \left[\int_{\hat{T}^m} |f(s) - f^\delta(s)|^2 ds \right] \\ &\leq E \int_{\mathbb{R}^{mn}} |f(s) - V^\delta * f(s)|^2 ds \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{mn}} V(x) E \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{mn}} |f(s) - f(s-x)|^2 ds \right] dx \end{aligned} \quad (A.1)$$

Now $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{mn}} |f(s) - f(s-x)|^2 dx \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow 0$ for all ω since translations

are continuous in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{mn})$. Hence, the expectation in (A.1) converges to zero as $x \rightarrow 0$ by Lebesgue's Bounded Convergence Theorem and so also $\|f - f^\delta\| \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. \square

Lemma A.3. If $f \in C(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, then there is a sequence f^δ of simple function which converge to f in $L^2(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$.

Proof: It suffices to prove the lemma under the additional assumption that f is bounded uniformly in (t, ω) . Recall that under Condition

c_3 , \hat{T}^m is naturally identified with an open subset of \mathbb{R}^{mn} . For $\delta > 0$, let I_δ denote sets of the form

$$(I_{11}x \dots x I_{1n})x \dots x (I_{m1}x \dots x I_{mn})$$

where each I_{ij} is an interval of the form $(k\delta, (k+1)\delta]$, and let \hat{I}_δ consist of $A \in I_\delta$ such that $A \subset \hat{T}^m$. Let $u(\cdot, \delta)$ be a function from \hat{T}^m to \hat{T}^m such that $u(x, \delta) = u(x', \delta) \in J$ whenever $x, x' \in J$ for some $J \in I_\delta$. Define $f^\delta(\underline{s}) = f(u(\underline{s}, \delta))$ if $\underline{s} \in J$ for some $J \in \hat{I}_\delta$, and define $f^\delta(\underline{s}) = 0$ otherwise. For $\delta < \varepsilon/\sqrt{n}$, each of the m rectangles in T of a set in \hat{I}_δ has diameter less than ε so that $f^\delta \in S_a(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$ for $\delta < \varepsilon/\sqrt{n}$. Furthermore, f^δ is bounded by the same constant that f is, and $f^\delta(s, \omega) \rightarrow f(s, \omega)$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ for each $(s, \omega) \in \hat{T}^m \times \Omega$ by the sample continuity of f . So $f^\delta \rightarrow f$ in $L^2(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ by dominated convergence. \square

Appendix B

Let I_m denote the collection of subsets of T^m of the form $A_1 \times \dots \times A_m$ such that each $A_i \in \mathcal{R}^n(T)$ and for some permutation Π ,

- 1) $A_{\Pi(1)}, \dots, A_{\Pi(k)}$ are C -independent, and
- 2) $A_{\Pi(k+1)}, \dots, A_{\Pi(m)} \subset S_{A_{\Pi(1)} A_{\Pi(2)} \dots A_{\Pi(k)}}$.

The purpose of this appendix is to prove the following proposition:

Proposition B. The linear span of $\{1_A : A \in I_m\}$ is dense in $L^2(T^m)$ for each $m \geq 1$.

Proof: Consider the following two conditions on C :

- (b₁) There is a countable subcollection of I_m which covers T^m a.e.
- (b₂) There is a countable subcollection I_m^d of disjoint sets in I_m which covers T^m a.e.

By a sequence of lemmas it is shown below that conditions c_2 and $c_3 \Rightarrow$ condition $b_1 \Rightarrow$ condition $b_2 \Rightarrow$ the conclusion of Proposition B.

□

Lemma B.1.

$$\bigcup_{\ell=1}^m \bigcup_{\Pi \in \mathcal{P}(m)} \Pi \circ \{(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) : \underline{x} \in \hat{E}^\ell, \underline{y} \in (S_{\underline{x}})^{m-\ell}\} = T^m \quad (*)$$

Proof: Let $\underline{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_m) \in T^m$. Choose a permutation $\underline{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_m) = \Pi(q_1, \dots, q_m)$ so that for some ℓ with $1 \leq \ell \leq m$,

$$S_{\underline{q}} = S_{p_1, \dots, p_\ell} \neq S_{p_1, \dots, \hat{p}_1, \dots, p_\ell} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq \ell$$

ig proposition:
 ie in $L^2(T^m)$ for

rich covers T^m a.e.

isjoint sets in I_m

conditions c_2 and
 Proposition B.

π

(*)

ion
 $1 \leq \ell \leq m,$

ℓ

To show that \underline{q} is contained in the left si
 show that p_1, \dots, p_ℓ are C-independent. Now, if
 C-independent, then $p_i \in S_{p_1, \dots, \hat{p}_i, \dots, p_\ell}$ for

$$\{A \in C: p_1, \dots, p \in A\} = \{A \in C: p_1, \dots, \hat{p}_i, \dots,$$

Intersecting all the sets contained in this co
 that

$$S_{p_1, \dots, p_\ell} = S_{p_1, \dots, \hat{p}_i, \dots, p_\ell}$$

which contradicts our choice of p_1, \dots, p_ℓ . Thu
 C-independent so that \underline{p} , and hence \underline{q} , is contai
 (*).

Lemma B.2. Conditions c_2 and c_3 imply Conditio

Proof: Let I_m^0 denote the subsets of T^m of the
 for some $\Pi \in P(m)$ and some $\ell > 0$,

- a) $A_{\Pi_1}, \dots, A_{\Pi_\ell}$ are C-independent, closed r
 have rational coordinates in $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,
- b) $A_{\Pi(\ell+1)} = \dots = A_{\Pi(m)} = S_{A_{\Pi(1)} A_{\Pi(2)} \dots}$

Then I_m^0 is a countable subset of I_m and

$$\begin{aligned}
\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{I}_m^0} A &\supset \bigcup_{\ell=1}^m \bigcup_{\Pi \in \mathcal{P}(m)} \Pi \circ \{(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) : \underline{x} \in \hat{T}^\ell, \underline{y} \in (S^{(-)})^{m-\ell}\} \\
&= \bigcup_{\ell=1}^m \bigcup_{\Pi \in \mathcal{P}(m)} \Pi \circ \{(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) : \underline{x} \in \hat{T}^\ell, \underline{y} \in (S_{\underline{x}})^{m-\ell}\} \\
&= \bigcup_{\ell=1}^m \bigcup_{\Pi \in \mathcal{P}(m)} \Pi \circ S_{m,\ell}
\end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

where

$$S_{m,\ell} = \{(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) : \underline{x} \in \hat{T}^\ell, \underline{y} \in (R_{\underline{x}})^{m-\ell} - (R_{\underline{x}}^{(-)})^{m-\ell}\}.$$

The first term on the right hand side of (B.1) is equal to T^m by Lemma B.1. Thus, to complete the proof it must be shown that $\mu^m(S_{m,\ell}) = 0$ for all $m \geq 1$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq m$.

By Condition c_2 ,

$$F_\epsilon = \{(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) : \underline{x} \in \hat{T}^\ell, \underline{y} \in (S_{\underline{x}}^\epsilon)^{m-\ell}\}$$

is a closed subset of $\hat{T}^\ell \times T^{m-\ell}$ which increases as ϵ decreases to zero.

Since $S_{m,\ell} = F_0 - \bigcup_{\epsilon > 0} F_\epsilon$, it follows that $S_{m,\ell}$ is a Borel subset of T^m .

By Condition c_3 , the section

$$\{\underline{y} : (\underline{x}, \underline{y}) \in S_{m,\ell}\} \subset T^{m-\ell}$$

of $S_{m,\ell}$ at \underline{x} has Lebesgue measure zero for a.e. $\underline{x} \in \hat{T}^m$. Hence, by Fubini's theorem, $\mu^m(S_{m,\ell}) = 0$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq m$. \square

Lemma B.3. Condition b_1 implies condition b_2 .

Proof: Let F_1, F_2, \dots be a countable subcollection of I_m which covers T^m a.e.. Then the disjoint sets $D_i = F_i - \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} F_j$ $i \geq 1$ cover T^m a.e.. We claim that for each $i \geq 1$ there is a finite collection of disjoint sets D_{i1}, \dots, D_{in_i} in I_m such that $D_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_i} D_{ij}$. Condition b is then satisfied with $I_m^d = \{D_{ij} : i \geq 1, 1 \leq j \leq n_i\}$. It remains to prove the claim.

By induction, it suffices to establish the claim for $i = 2$. Now $F_1 = A_1 \times \dots \times A_m$ for some Borel sets $A_1, \dots, A_m \subset T$. Thus, $F_1^i = \bigcup_{j=1}^r K_j$ where K_1, \dots, K_r are disjoint and each K_j is the product of m Borel subsets of T . In fact, F_1^i is the union of all sets of the form $B_1 \times \dots \times B_m$ such that $B_i = A_i$ or $B_i = A_i^c$ for each i and such that $B_i = A_i^c$ for at least one i , and these sets are disjoint. So $D_2 = \bigcup_{j=1}^k K_j \cap F_2$. The sets $K_j \cap F_2$ are disjoint sets in I_m as required so the claim is established. \square

Lemma B.4. Condition b_2 implies that the linear span of $\{1_A : A \in I_m\}$ is dense in $L^2(T^m)$.

Proof: Let $F = F_1 \times \dots \times F_m$ where each $F_i \in R^n(T)$. Then $A \cap F \in I_m$ for any $A \in I_m$ and by Condition b_2 ,

$$1_F = \sum_{A \in I_m^d} 1_{A \cap F} \quad \text{a.e. in } T^m.$$

Since the linear span of functions of the form 1_F is dense in $L^2(T^m)$, the lemma is established. \square

Appendix C

Proposition C. Assume Conditions $c_1 - c_3$. Let B_1, \dots, B_k be closed subsets of T and suppose that $\alpha_i(\omega)$ is an $F(B_i)$ measurable random variable with values in a finite set J for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Suppose for each $C \in J^k$ that $h(\cdot, \cdot, c) \in L_a^2(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$ and that

$$h(t, \cdot, c) = h(t, \cdot, c') \text{ a.s.}$$

whenever $c_i = c'_i$ for all i such that $B_i \not\subset S_t$. Then $h(\cdot, \cdot, \alpha(\cdot)) \in L_a^2(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$ and

$$h(\cdot, \cdot, \alpha(\cdot)) \circ W^m = h(\cdot, \cdot, c) \circ W^m \Big|_{c=\alpha(\cdot)} \text{ a.s.}$$

Proof: For each $\theta \in \{0, 1\}^k$, define

$$\hat{T}_\theta^m = \{t \in \hat{T}^m : B_i \subset S_t \Leftrightarrow \theta_i = 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k\}$$

By condition c_2 , the set $\{t : B \subset S_t\}$ is open for each i so that \hat{T}_θ^m is Borel for each θ . Since $\bigcup_\theta \hat{T}_\theta^m = \hat{T}^m$ it suffices to prove the lemma when

$$h(t, \cdot, c) = h(t, \cdot, c) I_{\hat{T}_\theta^m}(t)$$

for all t, c . Now, for definiteness, suppose that $\theta_i = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ and $\theta_i = 0$ for $\ell \leq i \leq k$. Let $\Pi : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ denote projection onto the first ℓ coordinates. Then for all $c \in J^k$,

$$h(t, \omega, c) = \tilde{h}(t, \omega, \Pi(c))$$

where $\tilde{h}(t, \omega, c) = h(t, \omega, (\Pi(c), j_0, \dots, j_0))$ for some fixed $j_0 \in J$.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
h(\cdot, \cdot, \alpha(\cdot)) \circ W^m &= \tilde{h}(\cdot, \cdot, \Pi(\alpha(\cdot))) \circ W^m \\
&= \sum_{b \in J}^{\ell} [\tilde{h}(\cdot, \cdot, b) I_{(\Pi(\alpha(\cdot))=b)}] \circ W^m \\
&= \sum_{b \in J}^{\ell} I_{(\Pi(\alpha(\cdot))=b)} (\tilde{h}(\cdot, \cdot, b) \circ W^m) \\
&= (\tilde{h}(\cdot, \cdot, b) \circ W^m) |_{b=\Pi(\alpha(\cdot))} \\
&= (h(\cdot, \cdot, c) \circ W^m) |_{c=\alpha(\cdot)}
\end{aligned}$$

The second equality is easily proven by approximating $\tilde{h}(\cdot, \cdot, b)$ in $L_a^2(\hat{T}^m \times \Omega)$ for each b by simple functions which vanish off the open set $\{t \in \hat{T}^m : B_i \subset S_t \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq \ell\}$. □