

EECS 219C: Computer-Aided Verification
Satisfiability Modulo Theories

Examples Used in Lecture

Sanjit A. Seshia
EECS, UC Berkeley

Equivalence Checking
of Program Fragments

int fun1 (int y) {	SMT formula ϕ
int x, z;	Satisfiable iff programs non-equivalent
z = y;	
y = x;	(z = y \wedge y1 = x \wedge x1 = z \wedge ret1 = x1*x1)
x = z;	a
return x*x;	(ret2 = y*y)
}	a
	(ret1 \neq ret2)
int fun2 (int y) {	
return y*y;	
}	What if we use SAT to check equivalence?

Equivalence Checking of Program Fragments

int fun1 (int y) {	SMT formula ϕ
int x, z;	Satisfiable iff programs non-equivalent
z = y;	
y = x;	(z = y a y1 = x a x1 = z a ret1 = x1*x1)
x = z;	a
	(ret2 = y*y)
return x*x;	a
}	(ret1 \neq ret2)
int fun2 (int y) {	
return y*y;	
}	

Using SAT to check equivalence (w/ Minisat)
 32 bits for y: Did not finish in over 5 hours
 16 bits for y: 37 sec.
 8 bits for y: 0.5 sec.

S. A. Seshia

3

Equivalence Checking of Program Fragments

int fun1 (int y) {	SMT formula ϕ'
int x, z;	
z = y;	(z = y a y1 = x a x1 = z a ret1 = sq(x1))
y = x;	a
x = z;	(ret2 = sq(y))
	a
return x*x;	(ret1 \neq ret2)
}	
int fun2 (int y) {	
return y*y;	
}	

Using EUF solver: 0.01 sec

S. A. Seshia

4

Equivalence Checking of Program Fragments

```
int fun1(int y) {  
  int x;  
  x = x ^ y;  
  y = x ^ y;  
  x = x ^ y;  
  
  return x*x;  
}
```

Does EUF still work?

No!

Must reason about bit-wise XOR.

Need a solver for bit-vector arithmetic.

```
int fun2(int y) {  
  return y*y;  
}
```

Solvable in less than a sec. with a
current bit-vector solver.

S. A. Seshia

5

Equivalence Checking of Program Fragments

```
int fun1(int y) {  
  int x[2];  
  x[0] = y;  
  y = x[1];  
  x[1] = x[0];  
  
  return x[1]*x[1];  
}
```

```
int fun2(int y) {  
  return y*y;  
}
```

How can we express the equivalence checking
problem as an SMT formula with arrays?

S. A. Seshia

6

Equivalence Checking of Program Fragments

```
int fun1(int y) {  
  int x[2];  
  x[0] = y;  
  y = x[1];  
  x[1] = x[0];  
  
  return x[1]*x[1];  
}  
  
int fun2(int y) {  
  return y*y;  
}
```

SMT formula ϕ

```
[ x1 = store(x,0,y) a y1 = select(x1,1)  
  a x2 = store(x1,1,select(x1,0))  
  a ret1 = sq(select(x2,1)) ]  
  a  
( ret2 = sq(y) )  
  a  
( ret1 ≠ ret2 )
```

EUF

- Example:

```
g(g(g(x))) = x  
a g(g(g(g(g(x)))) = x  
a g(x) ≠ x
```

Difference Logic

$x_1 \dot{=} x_2$
 $x_3 \neq 0$
 $x_2 + 3 \dot{=} x_1$
 $x_1 + 1 \neq x_3$
 $x_2 + 1 \dot{=} 0$
 $x_4 + 2 \dot{=} 0$
 $x_4 \neq x_2 - 2$

S. A. Seshia

9

Theory of Arrays

- Two main axioms: For all A, i, j, d
 - $\text{select}(\text{store}(A,i,d), i) = d$
 - $\text{select}(\text{store}(A,i,d), j) = \text{select}(A,j)$, if $i \neq j$
- Decision procedure operates by performing case-splits
- Example:

```
int a[10];
int fun3(int i) {
    int j;
    for(j=0; j<10; j++) a[j] = j;
    assert(a[i] <= 5);
}
```

S. A. Seshia

10

Theory of Arrays

- Two main axioms: For all A, i, j, d
 - $\text{select}(\text{store}(A,i,d), i) = d$
 - $\text{select}(\text{store}(A,i,d), j) = \text{select}(A,j)$, if $i \neq j$
- Decision procedure operates by performing case-splits
- Example:

$a[0] = 0$ $a[1] = 1$ $a[2] = 2$ $a \dots a[9] = 9$ $a[i] > 5$