September 1 : Memory safety, Buffer Overflows attacks

Scribe: Katia Patkin

1 Requirments

- System software written in an unsafe language (C), exposes raw pointers to the developer.
- Architectural layout of data.



Attacker can:

- Set data (e.g. *authentication* bit).
- Get control of program flow, run with process privilege.

2 Types of Buffer Overflow

- 1. Stack Smashing: Attacker overwrites return address and points to attacker supplied code.
- 2. Arc injection: Attacker overwrite return address to points to existing code.

Steps:

- (a) Set return address to target.
- (b) Ensure R (system register) points to attacker code (based on vulnerable program logics registers are reused)
- 3. Pointer subterfuge: Attack exploiting pointer overwrite.

4. Heap Smashing:

```
int main(int argc, char* argv)
{
    p = malloc(1024);
    q = malloc(1024);
    strcpy(p, argv[1]);
    ...
}
```

Simplified heap model:



[*] Upon block free (size=0) heap manager sets previous pointer to the next pointer. Steps:

- (a) Overwrite heap block such that previous pointer (α) points to return address, next pointer (β) points to attacker's code and size=0.
- (b) Heap manager frees block set location at α to point to β .
- \Rightarrow return address points to attacker code.

This attack is not very common, because the memory layout is less predictable and it is a more complicated attack.

3 Fixes

1. Avoid bugs in C code: Pros: solves in the sources. Cons: hard to write bug-free code.

2. Build tools that help programmers find bugs:

Example:

```
void foo(int* p)
{
    int offset;
    int* z = p + offset;
    ...
}
```

Static checker: Checks that *offset* is not intialized. offset hence can get any value, which means pointer could point to anything. Cons: hard to find all bugs.

3. Use a memory-safe language:

Cons:

- Not good for performance.
- There is legacy code.
- Not suitable for writing low-level code.

4. Bounds checking:

• <u>Canaries</u>: Modifies source code

Compiler places canary (random value) before local variables upon entry in function and checks before return.

return address	
saved bp	
canary	
buf[15]	
buf[0]	
	Ł

• Electric fences:

Object is followed by a *guard page*. Any access to guard page triggers page fault.

Guard page	
object	

Cons: takes a lot of memory space, can be used for DoS attacks.

• <u>Baggy bounds:</u> Goal: to check that the pointers are in range. Example:

```
char x[1024];
char* y = \&x[107];
y+2124 \dots
```

Check for pointer arithmetic that it is in bound.

How: For a pointer p' that is derived from p. p' should only be dereferenced to access memory that belongs to p.

4 Fat pointers

Each pointer holds bound information:

|--|

Cons:

- Performance overhead: for every pointer dereference, check bounds.
- Memory overhead: every 32-bit pointer is now 96-bit pointer.
- Incompatible with existing binaries.

5 Baggy bounds

Use data structures to keep bounds of each pointer.

Interpose on two operations:

1. pointer arithmetic:

char* q = p + 256

Needed to check pointer provenance (which pointer it was derived from)

2. pointer dereference:

char p[256];

Needed because in arithmetic intermediate value might be out of bound.

Implementation:

- 1. Align and allocate in the power of 2. Ex.: $malloc(44) \rightarrow 64$.
- 2. Express size of pointer as $\log_2(alloc \ size)$.
- 3. Store pointer to size in a linear array.
- 4. Allocate memory at slot granularity (16 bytes for Baggy).

Example:

```
\begin{array}{l} \texttt{p} = \texttt{malloc(16)} \rightarrow alloc \ size = 16, size = 4, slot = 1 \rightarrow \texttt{table[p\slot\_size]=4}. \\ \texttt{p} = \texttt{malloc(44)} \rightarrow alloc \ size = 64, size = 6, slot = 4 \rightarrow \texttt{table[p\slot\_size_0]=6}, \\ \ldots, \ \texttt{table[p\slot\_size_3]=6} \end{array}
```

Check p' is in the bound of p:

C code: p' = p + i Bounds check: size = 1 \ll table[p \gg log(slot size)] base = p & (size -1) base \leq p' < base + size