## EECS 225A Spring 2005 <br> Homework 4 solutions

1. As shown below, a random variable $X$ is the input to a cascade of two systems with random variable outputs $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$. You are given the joint PDF $p_{x, Y_{1}, Y_{2}}\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ and told that it satisfies $p_{Y_{2} \mid X, Y_{1}}\left(y_{2} \mid x, y_{1}\right)=p_{Y_{2} \mid Y_{1}}\left(y_{2} \mid y_{1}\right)$.
a. Give an intuitive argument as to why this latter condition specifies that there are no 'hidden' information channels from $X$ to $Y_{2}$, other than the path via $Y_{1}$.
b. Suppose the MMSE optimum non-linear estimates of $X$ based on $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ are, respectively, $f\left(y_{1}\right)$ and $g\left(y_{2}\right)$. In terms of the given PDF (or conditional PDF's derived from it) write down formulas for the functions $f(\cdot)$ and $g(\cdot)$.
c. Show that $g\left(y_{2}\right)=E\left[f\left(Y_{1}\right) \mid Y_{2}=y_{2}\right]$. Interpret this equation verbally, and give an intuitive argument for it.
d. Show that $E\left|X-g\left(Y_{2}\right)\right|^{2}=E\left|X-f\left(Y_{1}\right)\right|^{2}+E\left|f\left(Y_{1}\right)-g\left(Y_{2}\right)\right|^{2}$. Interpret this equation verbally, and give an intuitive argument for it. Note that this relationship attributes the total MMSE to a contribution from each of the cascaded systems.
e. Use the result of d. to attribute the total MMSE determined in Problem \#4 of Homework \#3 to the A/D converter and to the BSC. Use Matlab to plot the total and constituent MSE's as a function of crossover probability $p$ for a Gaussian RV and interpret the result.


## Solution

a. The condition specifies that conditional on knowledge of $Y_{1}=y_{1}, X$ and $Y_{2}$ are statistically independent. Thus, if we know $Y_{2}$ there is no additional statistical relationship to $X$ that can be exploited.
b. $f\left(y_{1}\right)=\int x \cdot p_{X \mid Y_{1}}\left(x \mid y_{1}\right) \cdot d x$ and $g\left(y_{2}\right)=\int x \cdot p_{X \mid Y_{2}}\left(x \mid y_{2}\right) \cdot d x$
c. First, we can show that (pardon the shorthand notation):

$$
p\left(x \mid y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\frac{p\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)}{p\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)}=\frac{p\left(y_{2} \mid x, y_{1}\right) \cdot p\left(x, y_{1}\right)}{p\left(y_{2} \mid y_{1}\right) \cdot p\left(y_{1}\right)}=\frac{p\left(x, y_{1}\right)}{p\left(y_{1}\right)}=p\left(x \mid y_{1}\right)
$$

Then we can rewrite $g\left(y_{2}\right)$ in terms of this density,

$$
g\left(y_{2}\right)=\iint x \cdot p\left(x \mid y_{1}, y_{2}\right) p\left(y_{1} \mid y_{2}\right) \cdot d y_{1} \cdot d x=\iint x \cdot p\left(x \mid y_{1}\right) p\left(y_{1} \mid y_{2}\right) \cdot d y_{1} \cdot d x
$$

or performing the x -integral,

$$
g\left(y_{2}\right)=\int f\left(y_{1}\right) \cdot p\left(y_{1} \mid y_{2}\right) \cdot d y_{1}=E\left[f\left(Y_{1}\right) \mid Y_{2}=y_{2}\right]
$$

The MMSE estimate of $Y_{2}$ can be formed from $Y_{1}$ alone, ignoring $X$. It is formed not by taking the conditional mean of $Y_{1}$, but rather the conditional mean of the MMSE estimator of $X$ based on $Y_{1}$. Thus, that conditional mean is able to stand in as a proxy for $X$ in calculating the new estimate.
d. We know from class that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left|X-f\left(Y_{1}\right)\right|^{2}=E|X|^{2}-E\left|f\left(Y_{1}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& E\left|X-g\left(Y_{2}\right)\right|^{2}=E|X|^{2}-E\left|g\left(Y_{2}\right)\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Further, we can conclude that since $g\left(y_{2}\right)=E\left[f\left(Y_{1}\right) \mid Y_{2}=y_{2}\right]$ is the MMSE estimator of random variable $f\left(Y_{1}\right)$ based on observation of $Y_{2}$,

$$
E\left|f\left(Y_{1}\right)-g\left(Y_{2}\right)\right|^{2}=E\left|f\left(Y_{1}\right)\right|^{2}-E\left|g\left(Y_{2}\right)\right|^{2} .
$$

Combining these three equations leads to the desired result. This says if the intermediate $\operatorname{RV} Y_{1}$ is replaced by the MMSE estimate of $X$ based on $Y_{1}$, then the error in through the first and second systems are orthogonal and the MMSE of the cascaded systems is the sum of the MMSE's of the constituent systems. Without this replacement, the errors are in general correlated and thus we can't conclude anything in general about their individual contributions to the cascaded error.
e. Define the output of the A/D as $Y_{1}$ and the output of the BSC as $Y_{2}$. In class we showed that the MMSE estimator at the A/D output assumes values

$$
f\left(Y_{1}\right)= \begin{cases}\mu_{0}, & Y_{1}=0 \\ \mu_{1}, & Y_{1}=1\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
p_{0}=\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha} p(x) \cdot d x, \mu_{0}=\frac{1}{p_{0}} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha} x \cdot p(x) \cdot d x \text { and } \mu_{1}=\frac{1}{\left(1-p_{0}\right)} \cdot \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} x \cdot p(x) \cdot d x
$$

Define a notation for the two values of the MMSE estimator at the BSC output,

$$
g\left(Y_{2}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\tau_{0}, & Y_{2}=0 \\
\tau_{1}, & Y_{2}=1
\end{array} .\right.
$$

These are the conditional means of $f\left(Y_{1}\right)$, conditioned on knowledge of $Y_{2}$. Calculating these conditional means,

$$
\begin{gathered}
q_{0}=p_{0}(1-p)+\left(1-p_{0}\right) p \\
\tau_{0}=E\left[f\left(Y_{1}\right) \mid Y_{2}=0\right]=\frac{\mu_{0} p_{0}(1-p)+\mu_{1}\left(1-p_{0}\right) p}{q_{0}}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\tau_{1}=E\left[f\left(Y_{1}\right) \mid Y_{2}=1\right]=\frac{\mu_{0} p_{0} p+\mu_{1}\left(1-p_{0}\right)(1-p)}{1-q_{0}}
$$

This is the same answer we calculated (using a more direct but cumbersome approach) in Homework \#3.
To calculate the MMSE, all we need to know is the second moments,

$$
\begin{gathered}
E\left[f^{2}\left(Y_{1}\right)\right]=\mu_{0}^{2} \cdot p_{0}+\mu_{1}^{2} \cdot\left(1-p_{0}\right) \\
E\left[g^{2}\left(Y_{2}\right)\right]=\tau_{0}^{2} \cdot q_{0}+\tau_{1}^{2} \cdot\left(1-q_{0}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

See M-file hmwk04.m. The MMSE is plotted below for a zero-mean unit-variance Gaussian and threshold $\alpha=0.5$. The blue line is the MMSE of the A/D, which is not dependent on $p$. The green line is the MMSE attributable to the BSC, which of course is zero at the end points and maximum at $p=0.5$. The red line is the total MMSE, which goes to unity (the input variance) at $p=0.5$ since in this case the output of the BSC is statistically independent of the input to the $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{D}$.

2. Hayes Problem 3.3

## Solution

(a) Since $x(n)$ is the output of an all-pole filter driven by white noise, $x(n)$ is an $\operatorname{AR}(p)$ process with a power spectrum

$$
P_{x}\left(e^{j \omega}\right)=\frac{\sigma_{w}^{2}}{\left|A\left(e^{j \omega}\right)\right|^{2}}
$$

where

$$
A\left(e^{j \omega}\right)=1-\sum_{k=1}^{p} a(k) e^{-j k \omega}
$$

(b) The process $z(n)$ is a sum of two random processes

$$
z(n)=x(n)+v(n)
$$

Since $x(n)$ is a linear combination of values of $w(n)$,

$$
x(n)=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{n} h(k) w(n-k)
$$

where $h(n)$ is the unit sample response of the filter generating $x(n)$, and since $v(n)$ is uncorrelated with $w(n)$, then $v(n)$ is uncorrelated with $x(n)$, and we have

$$
r_{z}(k)=r_{z}(k)+r_{v}(k)
$$

Therefore,

$$
P_{z}\left(e^{j \omega}\right)=P_{x}\left(e^{j \omega}\right)+P_{v}\left(e^{j \omega}\right)
$$

and

$$
P_{z}\left(e^{j \omega}\right)=\frac{\sigma_{w}^{2}}{\left|A\left(e^{j \omega}\right)\right|^{2}}+\sigma_{v}^{2}=\frac{\sigma_{w}^{2}+\sigma_{v}^{2}\left|A\left(e^{j \omega}\right)\right|^{2}}{\left|A\left(e^{j \omega}\right)\right|^{2}}
$$

3. Hayes Problem 3.4

## Solution

(a) The power spectrum of $x(n)$ is

$$
P_{x}(z)=\frac{3 / 4}{\left(1-\frac{1}{2} z^{-1}\right)\left(1-\frac{2}{2} z\right)}
$$

and the power spectrum of $y(n)$ is

$$
P_{y}(z)=H(z) H\left(z^{-1}\right) P_{x}(z)=\frac{3 / 4}{\left(1-\frac{1}{3} z^{-1}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{3} z\right)}
$$

(b) The autocorrelation sequence for $y(n)$ may be easily found using the $z$-transform pair

$$
\alpha^{|k|} \longleftrightarrow \frac{1-\alpha^{2}}{\left(1-\alpha z^{-1}\right)(1-\alpha z)}
$$

Since

$$
\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{|k|} \longleftrightarrow \frac{8 / 9}{\left(1-\frac{1}{3} z^{-1}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{3} z\right)}
$$

then

$$
r_{y}(k)=\frac{27}{32}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{|k|}
$$

(c) The cross-correlation $r_{x y}(k)$ between $x(n)$ and $y(n)$ is

$$
r_{x y}(k)=r_{x}(k) * h(-k)
$$

This may be easily computed using $z$-transforms as follows,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{x y}(z) & =P_{x}(z) H\left(z^{-1}\right)=\frac{3 / 4}{\left(1-\frac{1}{2} z^{-1}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{2} z\right)} \cdot \frac{1-\frac{1}{2} z}{1-\frac{1}{3} z} \\
& =\frac{3 / 4}{\left(1-\frac{1}{2} z^{-1}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{3} z\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Writing this in terms of $z^{-1}$ and performing a partial fraction expansion gives

$$
P_{x y}(z)=\frac{3}{4} \frac{z^{-1}}{\left(1-\frac{1}{2} z^{-1}\right)\left(z^{-1}-\frac{1}{3}\right)}=\frac{9 / 10}{1-\frac{1}{2} z^{-1}}+\frac{3 / 10}{z^{-1}-\frac{1}{3}}
$$

Inverse $z$-transforming gives

$$
r_{x y}(k)=\frac{9}{10}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k} u(k)+\frac{9}{10}(3)^{-k} u(-k-1)
$$

(d) The cross-power spectral density, $P_{x y}(z)$, as computed in part (a), is

$$
P_{x y}(z)=\frac{3 / 4}{\left(I-\frac{1}{2} z^{-1}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{3} z\right)}
$$

(e) The cross-correlation, $r_{x y}(k)$, between $x(n)$ and $y(n)$ may found by computing the inverse $z$-transform of the cross-power spectral density,

$$
P_{x y}(z)=\frac{3}{4} \frac{z^{-1}}{\left(1-\frac{1}{2} z^{-1}\right)\left(z^{-1}-\frac{1}{3}\right)}=\frac{\frac{9}{10}}{1-\frac{1}{2} z^{-1}}+\frac{\frac{3}{10}}{z^{-1}-\frac{1}{3}}
$$

Inverse transforming gives
4. Hayes Problem 3.6

## Solution

(a) Expanding $P_{x:}\left(e^{j \omega}\right)$ in terms of complex exponentials,

$$
P_{x}\left(e^{j \omega}\right)=3+2 \cos \omega=3+e^{-j \omega}+e^{j \omega}
$$

it follows that $r_{x}(0)=3$ and $r_{x}(1)=r_{x}(-1)=1$.
(b) Recall the DTFT pair

$$
\alpha^{|k|} \longleftrightarrow \frac{1-\alpha^{2}}{\left(1-\alpha e^{-j \omega}\right)\left(1-\alpha e^{j \omega}\right)}=\frac{1-\alpha^{2}}{\left(1+\alpha^{2}\right)-2 \alpha \cos \omega}
$$

Since

$$
P_{x}\left(e^{j \omega}\right)=\frac{1}{5+3 \cos \omega}=\frac{1 / 5}{1+\frac{3}{5} \cos \omega}
$$

it follows that

$$
r_{x}(k)=\frac{1}{4}\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^{|k|}
$$

(c) With

$$
P_{x}(z)=\frac{-2 z^{2}+5 z-2}{3 z^{2}+10 z+3}=\frac{-2 z+5-2 z^{-1}}{(3+z)\left(3+z^{-1}\right)}=\frac{1}{9} \frac{-2 z+5-2 z^{-1}}{\left(1+\frac{1}{3} z\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{3} z^{-1}\right)}
$$

using the pair

$$
\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^{\{k\}} \longleftrightarrow \frac{\frac{8}{9}}{\left(1+\frac{1}{3} z\right)\left(1+\frac{2}{3} z^{-1}\right)}
$$

it follows that

$$
r_{x}(k)=\frac{5}{8}\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^{|k|}-\frac{2}{8}\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^{k-1 \mid}-\frac{2}{8}\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^{1 k+1}
$$

