

# Math221 Homework # 3 Solutions

Instructor: James W. Demmel

September 20, 2009

## 2.2.

It enough to give only the high order terms ( $n^3$  and  $mn^2$  with the right coefficients) in your answer, but for completeness we give the exact answer.

It costs  $\frac{4n^3-3n^2-n}{6} \approx \frac{2n^3}{3}$  to factor  $A = PLU$ . Solving  $Ux = b$  costs  $n^2$ . Solving  $Ly = b_1$  costs  $n^2 - n$  for a general  $b_1$  (we have no divisions;  $L$  has ones on the diagonal) and only  $i^2 - i$  for  $b_1 = e_i$ , where  $e_i$  is the  $i$ -th column of the identity matrix (since we only have to solve a smaller system for  $e_i$  ( $x_j = 0$  for  $j > i$ )). Total cost of solving  $Ax = b$  having  $A = PLU$  is  $2n^2 - n$  for a general right hand side and  $n^2 + i^2 - i$  for  $b = e_i$ .

Solving  $AX = B$  after computing  $A = PLU$  means solving  $m$  equations which costs  $m(2n^2 - n)$ . The total cost for this method is

$$C_1 = \frac{4n^3 - 3n^2 - n}{6} + m(2n^2 - n) \approx \frac{2n^3}{3} + 2mn^2.$$

To find  $A^{-1}$  after computing  $A = PLU$  we means solve  $n$  linear equations with right hand sides  $e_i$  which costs  $\sum_{i=1}^n (n^2 + i^2 - i) = \frac{4n^3 - n}{3}$ . Then to find  $A^{-1}B$  we have another  $mn(2n - 1)$  flops.

The total cost of computing  $A^{-1}B$  is

$$C_2 = \frac{4n^3 - 3n^2 - n}{6} + \frac{4n^3 - n}{3} + mn(2n - 1) \approx 2n^3 + 2mn^2$$

$$C_2 - C_1 = \frac{4n^3 - n}{3} + 2mn^2 - mn - (2mn^2 - mn) = \frac{4n^3 - n}{3} \approx \frac{4n^3}{3}$$

## 2.7.

$D$  is nonsingular, so  $D_{ii} \neq 0$ .  $A = A^T$  implies  $LDM^T = MDL^T$ . Therefore  $DM^T L^{-T} = L^{-1}MD$ .  $L^{-1}MD$  is lower triangular with diagonal  $D_{ii}$ ,  $DM^T L^{-T}$  is upper triangular with diagonal  $D_{ii}$ , so  $DM^T L^{-T} = L^{-1}MD = D$ . Therefore  $L^{-1}M = I$  and  $M = L$ .

## 2.8.

We generate random matrices  $A$  and vectors  $b$  as described in the problem, and solve the problem using GEPP to get solution  $x_1$  and using Cramer's rule to get solution  $x_2$ . We then compute the backward error according to Theorem 2.2 in the book for both solutions:  $r_i = Ax_i - b$  and then  $\|r_i\|_1 / (\|A\|_1 \|x_i\|_1)$ ; if the method is backward stable then this last expression should be on the order of machine precision, about  $10^{-16}$ . By running the following Matlab code, we get a table

of 20 examples, for which the backward from GEPP is always small, but for Cramer's rule is it frequently as large as  $10^{-3}$ .

```
% Answer for Question 2.8 in the text book
% For each example matrix:
% cond = accurate condition number norm(A,2)*norm(inv(A),2)
% berr(GEPP) = backward error for GEPP; should be 0(1e-16)
% berr(Cramer) = backward error for Cramer's rule; might be larger
clear res; pert = 1e-14;
for i=1:20,
    a=rand(2,2);a(:,2)=a(:,1)+pert*randn(2,1);
    b=a(:,2)+pert*randn(2,1);
    x1 = a\b;
    r1 = a*x1-b; nr1 = norm(r1,1)/(norm(a,1)*norm(x1,1));
    determ = a(1,1)*a(2,2)-a(1,2)*a(2,1);
    clear x2;
    x2(1) = (a(2,2)*b(1)-a(1,2)*b(2))/determ;
    x2(2) = (-a(2,1)*b(1)+a(1,1)*b(2))/determ;
    x2=x2';
    r2 = a*x2-b; nr2 = norm(r2,1)/(norm(a,1)*norm(x2,1));
    res(i,:)= [i,cond(a),nr1,nr2];
end
disp('    example      cond      berr(GEPP)  berr(Cramer)')
res
```

### 2.11.

For  $x = te_i - e_j$  we have  $0 < x^T Ax = t^2 a_{ii} - 2ta_{ij} + a_{jj}$  for all  $t$ . This is only possible when the discriminant is negative, i.e.  $a_{ij}^2 < a_{ii}a_{jj}$ .

### 2.13.

Part 1: We consider only the more general case, the Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula. Assume  $T = I + V^T A^{-1} U$  is nonsingular. Then multiply out

$$\begin{aligned} & (A + UV^T)(A^{-1} - A^{-1}UT^{-1}V^T A^{-1}) \\ &= I + UV^T A^{-1} - UT^{-1}V^T A^{-1} - UV^T A^{-1}UT^{-1}V^T A^{-1} \\ &= I + UV^T A^{-1} - UT^{-1}V^T A^{-1} - U(T - I)T^{-1}V^T A^{-1} \\ &= I + UV^T A^{-1} - UT^{-1}V^T A^{-1} - UV^T A^{-1} + UT^{-1}V^T A^{-1} = I \end{aligned}$$

to see that  $A + UV^T$  is nonsingular with the desired inverse.

Conversely, if  $T$  is singular, then  $Tx = 0$  for some nonzero  $x$ , so  $(I + V^T A^{-1} U)x = 0$ . Note that this implies  $Ux \neq 0$ , for otherwise  $Tx = x = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus  $y = A^{-1}Ux \neq 0$ , and  $(A + UV^T)y = UTx = 0$ , so  $A + UV^T$  has a nonzero null vector  $y$  and is singular.

Part 2:  $B = A + uv^T$ ,  $By = c$  implies

$$y = B^{-1}c = \left( A^{-1} - \frac{A^{-1}uv^T A^{-1}}{1 + v^T A^{-1}u} \right) c = A^{-1}c - \frac{A^{-1}uv^T A^{-1}c}{1 + v^T A^{-1}u}$$

So the algorithm is as follows:

1. Solve  $Ax = c$  for  $x$
2. Solve  $Az = u$  for  $z$
3. Compute  $y = x - z \frac{v^T x}{1 + v^T z}$ .

## 2.17

$A \backslash b$  returns the solution  $x$  of  $Ax=b$  computed using Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting.  $b' / A$  returns the solution  $x^T$  of  $b^T = x^T A$ , where  $b^T$  and  $x^T$  are row vectors.  $A / b$  gives an error message, because the dimensions don't match correctly.  $A \backslash b$  and  $\text{inv}(A) * b$  both solve  $Ax=b$ , but  $\text{inv}(A) * b$  computes the inverse explicitly and multiplies  $b$  by it.

### 2.18.1.

We use the fact that the  $LU$  decomposition is unique at every step (for unit lower triangular  $L$ ). Let  $A_{11} = L_{11}U_{11}$ . We have

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} L_{11} & 0 \\ L_{21} & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $A_{21} = L_{21}U_{11}$  (so  $L_{21} = A_{21}U_{11}^{-1}$ ),  $A_{12} = L_{11}U_{12}$  (so  $U_{12} = L_{11}^{-1}A_{12}$ ), and

$$A_{22} = S + L_{21}U_{12} = S + A_{21}U_{11}^{-1}L_{11}^{-1}A_{12} = S + A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}$$

Thus after  $k$  steps of Gaussian Elimination  $A_{22}$  is overwritten by  $S = A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}$ .

### Extra credit

See Algorithm 8 (RTRSM) on page 27 of [www.eecs.berkeley.edu/Pubs/TechRpts/2009/EECS-2009-29.pdf](http://www.eecs.berkeley.edu/Pubs/TechRpts/2009/EECS-2009-29.pdf).