EE 223: Stochastic Estimation and Control	Spring 2007
Lecture 9 — February 13	
Lecturer: Venkat Anantharam	Scribe: none

This lecture was not scribed. Most of the calculations done during this lecture are available in the textbook.

We studied the Riccati equation

$$P_{k+1} = A^T (P_k - P_k B (B^T P_k B + R)^{-1} B^T P_k) A + Q ,$$

(eqn. (4.8) on pg. 153 of the textbook). We proved Prop. 4.4.1, as stated on pp. 153-154 of the textbook. The proof we gave was essentially identical to that in the textbook. The only difference was in the proof that A + BL must be stable (where $L = -(B^T P B + R)^{-1} B^T P A$ as in eqn. (4.11) on pg. 154 of the textbook). Starting from the equation

$$P = (A + BL)^T P(A + BL) + Q + L^T RL ,$$

(which is eqn. (4.12) on pg. 156 of the textbook) and assuming that λ is a (possibly complex) eigenvalue of A + BL with $|\lambda| \ge 1$, we let v be a nonzero (possibly complex) eigenvector corresponding to this eigenvalue, i.e. $(A + BL)v = \lambda v$. We write

$$v^*Pv = \mid \lambda \mid^2 v^*Pv + v^*Qv + (Lv)^*RLv$$

where the * denotes complex conjugate transpose. Since all the terms above are real and nonnegative, we conclude that $v^*Qv = 0$ and $(Lv)^*RLv = 0$. The second of these, together with the positive definiteness of R implies that Lv = 0, from which we see that $Av = \lambda v$. With $Q = C^T C$ we learn from $v^*Qv = 0$ that Cv = 0. But then

$$\begin{bmatrix} C \\ CA \\ CA^2 \\ \vdots \\ CA^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} v = 0 ,$$

which contradicts our assumption that (C, A) is observable. Hence it must be that (A + BL) is stable.