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Min Weight Matching.
Negate values and find maximum weight matching.
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## Still Cut?

Use directed graph!
Cut in this graph.

Algorithm:
Given matching.
Direct unmatched edges $U$ to $V$, matched $V$ to $U$.
Find path between unmatched nodes on left to right. (BFS, DFS).
Until everything matched ... or output a cut.
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## Goal: perfect matching on tight edges.

## Algorithm

Start with empty matching, feasible cover function $(p(\cdot))$
Add tight edges to matching.
Use alt./aug. paths of tight edges.
 "maximum matching algorithm."
No augmenting path.
Cut, $(S, T)$, in directed graph of tight edges!
All edges across cut are not tight. (loose?)
Nontight edges leaving cut, go from $S_{U}, T_{V}$.
Lower prices in $S_{U}$, raise prices in $S_{V}$, all explored edges still tight, backward edges still feasible
... and get new tight edge!
What's delta? $w(e)<p(u)+p(v) \rightarrow$
$\delta=\min _{e \in\left(S_{U} \times T_{V}\right)} p(u)+p(v)-w(e)$.

Lecture 2 ended in the middle of the previous slide. A question was asked why don't we just drop prices around the blue (loose) edge in the figure. Why not?
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Beginning "Matcher" Solution: $M=\{ \}$.
Feasible! Value $=0$.
Beginning "Coverer" Solution: $p(u)=$ maximum incident edge for $u \in U, 0$ otherwise.

Main Work:
breadth first search from unmatched nodes finds cut. Update prices (find minimum delta.)
Simple Implementation:
Each bfs either augments or adds node to $S$ in next cut.
$O(n)$ iterations per augmentation.
$O(n)$ augmentations.
$O\left(n^{2} m\right)$ time.
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## Example



All matched edges tight.
Perfect matching. Feasible price function. Values the same.
Optimal!
Notice:
no weights on the right problem.
retain previous matching through price changes.
retains edges in failed search through price changes.

