



Lagrangian Dual. Already saw example!

Lagrangian Dual. Already saw example! Convex Separator. Lagrangian Dual. Already saw example! Convex Separator. Farkas Lemma.

Find x, subjet to

Find x, subjet to

 $f_i(x) \leq 0, i = 1, \ldots m.$

Find x, subjet to

 $f_i(x) \leq 0, i = 1, \ldots m.$

Remember calculus (constrained optimization.)

Find x, subjet to

 $f_i(x) \leq 0, i = 1, \ldots m.$

Remember calculus (constrained optimization.)

Lagrangian:

Find x, subjet to

 $f_i(x) \leq 0, i = 1, \ldots m.$

Remember calculus (constrained optimization.)

Lagrangian: $L(x,\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(x)$

Find x, subjet to

 $f_i(x) \leq 0, i = 1, \ldots m.$

Remember calculus (constrained optimization.)

Lagrangian: $L(x,\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(x)$

 λ_i - Lagrangian multiplier for inequality *i*.

Find x, subjet to

 $f_i(x) \leq 0, i = 1, \ldots m.$

Remember calculus (constrained optimization.)

Lagrangian: $L(x,\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(x)$

 λ_i - Lagrangian multiplier for inequality *i*.

For feasible solution *x*, $L(x, \lambda)$ is

Find x, subjet to

 $f_i(x) \leq 0, i = 1, \ldots m.$

Remember calculus (constrained optimization.)

Lagrangian: $L(x,\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(x)$

 λ_i - Lagrangian multiplier for inequality *i*.

For feasible solution *x*, $L(x, \lambda)$ is

Find x, subjet to

 $f_i(x) \leq 0, i=1,\ldots m.$

Remember calculus (constrained optimization.)

Lagrangian: $L(x,\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(x)$

 λ_i - Lagrangian multiplier for inequality *i*.

For feasible solution x, $L(x, \lambda)$ is

(A) non-negative in expectation

Find x, subjet to

 $f_i(x) \leq 0, i=1,\ldots m.$

Remember calculus (constrained optimization.)

Lagrangian: $L(x,\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(x)$

 λ_i - Lagrangian multiplier for inequality *i*.

For feasible solution x, $L(x, \lambda)$ is

- (A) non-negative in expectation
- (B) positive for any λ .

Find x, subjet to

 $f_i(x) \leq 0, i=1,\ldots m.$

Remember calculus (constrained optimization.)

Lagrangian: $L(x,\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(x)$

 λ_i - Lagrangian multiplier for inequality *i*.

For feasible solution x, $L(x, \lambda)$ is

- (A) non-negative in expectation
- (B) positive for any λ .
- (C) non-positive for any valid λ .

Find x, subjet to

 $f_i(x) \leq 0, i = 1, \ldots m.$

Remember calculus (constrained optimization.)

Lagrangian: $L(x,\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(x)$

 λ_i - Lagrangian multiplier for inequality *i*.

For feasible solution x, $L(x, \lambda)$ is

- (A) non-negative in expectation
- (B) positive for any λ .
- (C) non-positive for any valid λ .

If λ , where $L(x,\lambda)$ is positive for all x

Find x, subjet to

 $f_i(x) \leq 0, i = 1, \ldots m.$

Remember calculus (constrained optimization.)

Lagrangian: $L(x,\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(x)$

 λ_i - Lagrangian multiplier for inequality *i*.

For feasible solution x, $L(x, \lambda)$ is

- (A) non-negative in expectation
- (B) positive for any λ .
- (C) non-positive for any valid λ .

If λ , where $L(x,\lambda)$ is positive for all x

Find x, subjet to

 $f_i(x) \leq 0, i = 1, \ldots m.$

Remember calculus (constrained optimization.)

Lagrangian: $L(x,\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(x)$

 λ_i - Lagrangian multiplier for inequality *i*.

For feasible solution x, $L(x, \lambda)$ is

- (A) non-negative in expectation
- (B) positive for any λ .
- (C) non-positive for any valid λ .

If λ , where $L(x,\lambda)$ is positive for all x

(A) there is no feasible x.

Find x, subjet to

 $f_i(x) \leq 0, i = 1, \ldots m.$

Remember calculus (constrained optimization.)

Lagrangian: $L(x,\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(x)$

 λ_i - Lagrangian multiplier for inequality *i*.

For feasible solution x, $L(x, \lambda)$ is

- (A) non-negative in expectation
- (B) positive for any λ .
- (C) non-positive for any valid λ .

If λ , where $L(x,\lambda)$ is positive for all x

- (A) there is no feasible x.
- (B) there is no x, λ with $L(x, \lambda) < 0$.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min & f(x) \\ \text{subject to } f_i(x) \leq 0, & i=1,...,m \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min & f(x) \\ \text{subject to } f_i(x) \leq 0, & i=1,...,m \end{array}$

Lagragian function:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min & f(x) \\ \text{subject to } f_i(x) \leq 0, & i=1,...,m \end{array}$

Lagragian function:

 $L(x,\lambda) = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(x)$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min & f(x) \\ \text{subject to } f_i(x) \leq 0, & i=1,...,m \end{array}$

Lagragian function:

 $L(x,\lambda) = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(x)$

If (primal) x value v

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min & f(x) \\ \text{subject to } f_i(x) \leq 0, & i=1,...,m \end{array}$

Lagragian function:

 $L(x,\lambda) = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(x)$

If (primal) x value v For all $\lambda \ge 0$ with $L(x,\lambda) \le v$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min & f(x) \\ \text{subject to } f_i(x) \leq 0, & i = 1, ..., m \end{array}$

Lagragian function:

 $L(x,\lambda) = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(x)$

If (primal) x value v For all $\lambda \ge 0$ with $L(x,\lambda) \le v$ Maximizing λ only positive when $f_i(x) = 0$.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min & f(x) \\ \text{subject to } f_i(x) \leq 0, & i = 1, ..., m \end{array}$

Lagragian function:

 $L(x,\lambda) = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(x)$

If (primal) x value v For all $\lambda \ge 0$ with $L(x,\lambda) \le v$ Maximizing λ only positive when $f_i(x) = 0$.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min & f(x) \\ \text{subject to } f_i(x) \leq 0, & i = 1, ..., m \end{array}$

Lagragian function:

 $L(x,\lambda) = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(x)$

If (primal) x value v For all $\lambda \ge 0$ with $L(x,\lambda) \le v$ Maximizing λ only positive when $f_i(x) = 0$.

If there is λ with $L(x,\lambda) \ge \alpha$ for all x

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min & f(x) \\ \text{subject to } f_i(x) \leq 0, & i = 1, ..., m \end{array}$

Lagragian function:

 $L(x,\lambda) = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(x)$

If (primal) x value v For all $\lambda \ge 0$ with $L(x,\lambda) \le v$ Maximizing λ only positive when $f_i(x) = 0$.

If there is λ with $L(x,\lambda) \ge \alpha$ for all xFor optimum value of program is at least α

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min & f(x) \\ \text{subject to } f_i(x) \leq 0, & i = 1, ..., m \end{array}$

Lagragian function:

 $L(x,\lambda) = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(x)$

If (primal) x value v For all $\lambda \ge 0$ with $L(x,\lambda) \le v$ Maximizing λ only positive when $f_i(x) = 0$.

If there is λ with $L(x,\lambda) \ge \alpha$ for all xFor optimum value of program is at least α

Primal problem:

x, that minimizes $L(x, \lambda)$ over all $\lambda > 0$.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min & f(x) \\ \text{subject to } f_i(x) \leq 0, & i = 1, ..., m \end{array}$

Lagragian function:

 $L(x,\lambda) = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(x)$

If (primal) x value v For all $\lambda \ge 0$ with $L(x,\lambda) \le v$ Maximizing λ only positive when $f_i(x) = 0$.

If there is λ with $L(x,\lambda) \ge \alpha$ for all xFor optimum value of program is at least α

Primal problem:

x, that minimizes $L(x, \lambda)$ over all $\lambda > 0$.

Dual problem:

 λ , that maximizes $L(x,\lambda)$ over all x.

 $\min cx, Ax \ge b$

 $\min cx, Ax \ge b$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & c \cdot x \\ \text{subject to } b_i - a_i \cdot x \leq 0, & i = 1, ..., m \end{array}$$

 $\min cx, Ax \ge b$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & c \cdot x \\ \text{subject to } b_i - a_i \cdot x \leq 0, & i = 1, ..., m \end{array}$$

Lagrangian (Dual):

 $\min cx, Ax \ge b$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & c \cdot x \\ \text{subject to } b_i - a_i \cdot x \leq 0, & i = 1, ..., m \end{array}$$

Lagrangian (Dual):

$$L(\lambda, x) = cx + \sum_i \lambda_i (b_i - a_i x_i).$$

 $\min cx, Ax \ge b$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & c \cdot x \\ \text{subject to } b_i - a_i \cdot x \leq 0, & i = 1, ..., m \end{array}$$

Lagrangian (Dual):

$$L(\lambda, x) = cx + \sum_i \lambda_i (b_i - a_i x_i).$$

or

 $\min cx, Ax \ge b$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & c \cdot x \\ \text{subject to } b_i - a_i \cdot x \leq 0, & i = 1, ..., m \end{array}$$

Lagrangian (Dual):

$$L(\lambda, x) = cx + \sum_i \lambda_i (b_i - a_i x_i).$$

or

$$L(\lambda, x) = -(\sum_j x_j(a_j\lambda - c_j)) + b\lambda$$
$\min cx, Ax \ge b$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & c \cdot x \\ \text{subject to } b_i - a_i \cdot x \leq 0, & i = 1, ..., m \end{array}$$

Lagrangian (Dual):

$$L(\lambda, x) = cx + \sum_i \lambda_i (b_i - a_i x_i).$$

or

$$L(\lambda, x) = -(\sum_j x_j(a_j\lambda - c_j)) + b\lambda.$$

Best λ ?

 $\min cx, Ax \ge b$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & c \cdot x \\ \text{subject to } b_i - a_i \cdot x \leq 0, & i = 1, ..., m \end{array}$$

Lagrangian (Dual):

$$L(\lambda, x) = cx + \sum_i \lambda_i (b_i - a_i x_i).$$

or

$$L(\lambda, x) = -(\sum_j x_j(a_j\lambda - c_j)) + b\lambda.$$

Best λ ?

 $\min cx, Ax \ge b$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & c \cdot x \\ \text{subject to } b_i - a_i \cdot x \leq 0, & i = 1, ..., m \end{array}$$

Lagrangian (Dual):

$$L(\lambda, x) = cx + \sum_i \lambda_i (b_i - a_i x_i).$$

or

$$L(\lambda, x) = -(\sum_{j} x_{j}(a_{j}\lambda - c_{j})) + b\lambda.$$

Best λ ?
max $b \cdot \lambda$

 $\min cx, Ax \ge b$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & c \cdot x \\ \text{subject to } b_i - a_i \cdot x \leq 0, & i = 1, ..., m \end{array}$$

Lagrangian (Dual):

$$L(\lambda, x) = cx + \sum_i \lambda_i (b_i - a_i x_i).$$

or

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}(\lambda, x) &= -(\sum_j x_j (a_j \lambda - c_j)) + b\lambda. \\ \text{Best } \lambda ? \\ \max b \cdot \lambda \text{ where } a_j \lambda &= c_j. \end{split}$$

 $\min cx, Ax \ge b$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & c \cdot x \\ \text{subject to } b_i - a_i \cdot x \leq 0, & i = 1, ..., m \end{array}$$

Lagrangian (Dual):

$$L(\lambda, x) = cx + \sum_i \lambda_i (b_i - a_i x_i).$$

or

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}(\lambda, x) &= -(\sum_j x_j (a_j \lambda - c_j)) + b\lambda. \\ \text{Best } \lambda? \\ \max b \cdot \lambda \text{ where } a_j \lambda &= c_j. \\ \max b\lambda, \end{split}$$

 $\min cx, Ax \ge b$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & c \cdot x \\ \text{subject to } b_i - a_i \cdot x \leq 0, & i = 1, ..., m \end{array}$$

Lagrangian (Dual):

$$L(\lambda, x) = cx + \sum_i \lambda_i (b_i - a_i x_i).$$

or

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}(\lambda, x) &= -(\sum_{j} x_{j}(a_{j}\lambda - c_{j})) + b\lambda. \\ \text{Best } \lambda? \\ \max b \cdot \lambda \text{ where } a_{j}\lambda &= c_{j}. \\ \max b\lambda, \lambda^{T}A &= c, \end{split}$$

 $\min cx, Ax \ge b$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & c \cdot x \\ \text{subject to } b_i - a_i \cdot x \leq 0, & i = 1, ..., m \end{array}$$

Lagrangian (Dual):

$$L(\lambda, x) = cx + \sum_i \lambda_i (b_i - a_i x_i).$$

or

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}(\lambda, x) &= -(\sum_{j} x_{j}(a_{j}\lambda - c_{j})) + b\lambda. \\ \text{Best } \lambda? \\ \max b \cdot \lambda \text{ where } a_{j}\lambda &= c_{j}. \\ \max b\lambda, \lambda^{T}A &= c, \lambda \geq 0 \end{split}$$

 $\min cx, Ax \ge b$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & c \cdot x \\ \text{subject to } b_i - a_i \cdot x \leq 0, & i = 1, ..., m \end{array}$$

Lagrangian (Dual):

$$L(\lambda, x) = cx + \sum_i \lambda_i (b_i - a_i x_i).$$

or

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}(\lambda, x) &= -(\sum_{j} x_{j}(a_{j}\lambda - c_{j})) + b\lambda. \\ \text{Best } \lambda? \\ \max b \cdot \lambda \text{ where } a_{j}\lambda &= c_{j}. \\ \max b\lambda, \lambda^{T}A &= c, \lambda \geq 0 \\ \text{Duals!} \end{split}$$

Ax = b

Ax = b

A is $n \times n$ matrix...

Ax = b

A is $n \times n$ matrix...

..has a solution.

Ax = b

A is $n \times n$ matrix...

..has a solution.

If rows of A are linearly independent.

Ax = b

A is $n \times n$ matrix...

..has a solution.

If rows of *A* are linearly independent. $y^T A \neq 0$ for any *y*

Ax = b

A is $n \times n$ matrix...

..has a solution.

If rows of *A* are linearly independent. $y^T A \neq 0$ for any *y*

.. or if *b* in subspace of *A*.

Ax = b

A is $n \times n$ matrix...

..has a solution.

If rows of *A* are linearly independent. $y^T A \neq 0$ for any *y*

.. or if *b* in subspace of *A*.



Later.

Later. Actually. No.

Later. Actually. No. Now

Later. Actually. No. Now ...ish. Special Cases:

Later. Actually. No. Now ...ish. Special Cases: min-max 2 person games and experts.

Later. Actually. No. Now ...ish. Special Cases: min-max 2 person games and experts. Max weight matching and algorithm.

Later. Actually. No. Now ...ish. Special Cases: min-max 2 person games and experts. Max weight matching and algorithm. Approximate: facility location primal dual. Later. Actually. No. Now ...ish. Special Cases: min-max 2 person games and experts. Max weight matching and algorithm. Approximate: facility location primal dual.

Today: Geometry!

Convex Body and point.

For a convex body *P* and a point *b*, $b \in P$ or hyperplane separates *P* from *b*.

For a convex body *P* and a point *b*, $b \in P$ or hyperplane separates *P* from *b*.

 v, α , where $v \cdot x \leq \alpha$ and $v \cdot b > \alpha$.

For a convex body *P* and a point *b*, $b \in P$ or hyperplane separates *P* from *b*.

 v, α , where $v \cdot x \leq \alpha$ and $v \cdot b > \alpha$.

Convex Body and point.

For a convex body *P* and a point *b*, $b \in P$ or hyperplane separates *P* from *b*.

 v, α , where $v \cdot x \le \alpha$ and $v \cdot b > \alpha$. point p where $(x - p)^T (b - p) < 0$

For a convex body *P* and a point *b*, $b \in A$ or hyperplane point *p* where $(x-p)^T(b-p) < 0$

For a convex body *P* and a point *b*, $b \in A$ or hyperplane point *p* where $(x-p)^T(b-p) < 0$



Proof: Choose *p* to be closest point to *b* in *P*.

For a convex body *P* and a point *b*, $b \in A$ or hyperplane point *p* where $(x-p)^T(b-p) < 0$



Proof: Choose *p* to be closest point to *b* in *P*.

Done

For a convex body *P* and a point *b*, $b \in A$ or hyperplane point *p* where $(x-p)^T(b-p) < 0$



Proof: Choose *p* to be closest point to *b* in *P*.

For a convex body *P* and a point *b*, $b \in A$ or hyperplane point *p* where $(x-p)^T(b-p) < 0$



Proof: Choose *p* to be closest point to *b* in *P*.



For a convex body *P* and a point *b*, $b \in A$ or hyperplane point *p* where $(x-p)^T(b-p) < 0$



Proof: Choose *p* to be closest point to *b* in *P*.



$$(x-p)^T(b-p) \ge 0$$

 $\rightarrow \le 90^\circ$ angle between $\overrightarrow{x-p}$ and $\overrightarrow{b-p}$.

For a convex body *P* and a point *b*, $b \in A$ or hyperplane point *p* where $(x-p)^T(b-p) < 0$



Proof: Choose *p* to be closest point to *b* in *P*.



$$(x-p)^T(b-p) \ge 0$$

 $\rightarrow \le 90^\circ$ angle between $\overrightarrow{x-p}$ and $\overrightarrow{b-p}$.
Must be closer point on line to from *p* to *x*.

More formally.



Squared distance to *b* from $p + (x - p)\mu$


Squared distance to *b* from $p + (x - p)\mu$ point between *p* and *x*



Squared distance to *b* from $p + (x - p)\mu$ point between *p* and *x* $(|p-b| - \mu|x - p|\cos\theta)^2 + (\mu|x - p|\sin\theta)^2$



Squared distance to *b* from $p + (x - p)\mu$ point between *p* and *x* $(|p-b|-\mu|x-p|\cos\theta)^2 + (\mu|x-p|\sin\theta)^2$ θ is the angle between x - p and b - p.







Simplify:

 $|p - b|^2 - 2\mu |p - b| |x - p| \cos\theta + (\mu |x - p|)^2.$



Simplify:

 $|p-b|^2 - 2\mu|p-b||x-p|\cos\theta + (\mu|x-p|)^2$. Derivative with respect to μ ...



Derivative with respect to μ ...

 $-2|p-b||x-p|\cos\theta+2(\mu|x-p|).$



Simplify:

 $|p-b|^2 - 2\mu|p-b||x-p|\cos\theta + (\mu|x-p|)^2$. Derivative with respect to μ ...

 $-2|p-b||x-p|\cos\theta+2(\mu|x-p|).$ which is negative for a small enough value of μ



Simplify:

 $|p-b|^2 - 2\mu|p-b||x-p|\cos\theta + (\mu|x-p|)^2$. Derivative with respect to μ ...

 $-2|p-b||x-p|\cos\theta+2(\mu|x-p|).$

which is negative for a small enough value of μ (for positive $cos\theta$.)

Generalization: exercise.

There is a separating hyperplane between any two convex bodies.

Generalization: exercise.

There is a separating hyperplane between any two convex bodies.

Let closest pair of points in two bodies define direction.























Coordinates
$$s = b - Ax$$
.
 $x \ge 0$ where $s = 0$?





Coordinates s = b - Ax. $x \ge 0$ where s = 0?





Coordinates
$$s = b - Ax$$
.
 $x \ge 0$ where $s = 0$?









y where $y^T(b-Ax) < 0$ for all $x \to y^T b < 0$ and $y^T A \ge 0$. **Farkas A:** Solution for exactly one of:



y where $y^T(b - Ax) < 0$ for all $x \to y^T b < 0$ and $y^T A \ge 0$. **Farkas A:** Solution for exactly one of:

(1) $Ax = b, x \ge 0$



y where $y^T(b - Ax) < 0$ for all $x \to y^T b < 0$ and $y^T A \ge 0$. **Farkas A:** Solution for exactly one of:

(1)
$$Ax = b, x \ge 0$$

(2) $y^T A \ge 0, y^T b < 0$



Farkas A: Solution for exactly one of:

Farkas A: Solution for exactly one of:

(1) $Ax = b, x \ge 0$

Farkas A: Solution for exactly one of:

(1) $Ax = b, x \ge 0$ (2) $y^T A \ge 0, y^T b < 0.$

Farkas A: Solution for exactly one of:

(1) $Ax = b, x \ge 0$ (2) $y^T A \ge 0, y^T b < 0.$

Farkas B: Solution for exactly one of:

Farkas A: Solution for exactly one of:

(1)
$$Ax = b, x \ge 0$$

(2) $y^T A \ge 0, y^T b < 0.$

Farkas B: Solution for exactly one of:

(1) $Ax \le b$

Farkas A: Solution for exactly one of:

(1)
$$Ax = b, x \ge 0$$

(2) $y^T A \ge 0, y^T b < 0.$

Farkas B: Solution for exactly one of:

(1)
$$Ax \le b$$

(2) $y^T A = 0, y^T b < 0, y \ge 0.$

Strong Duality

(From Goemans notes.)

Primal P
$$z^* = \min c^T x$$

 $Ax = b$
 $x > 0$

Dual D : $w^* = \max b^T y$ $A^T y \le c$

Strong Duality

(From Goemans notes.)

Primal P
$$z^* = \min c^T x$$
Dual D : $w^* = \max b^T y$ $Ax = b$ $A^T y \le c$ $x \ge 0$ $A^T y \le c$

Weak Duality: x, y- feasible P, D: $x^T c \ge b^T y$.

Strong Duality

(From Goemans notes.)

Primal P
$$z^* = \min c^T x$$
Dual D : $w^* = \max b^T y$ $Ax = b$ $A^T y \le c$

Weak Duality: x, y-feasible P, D: $x^T c \ge b^T y$.

$$x^{T}c - b^{T}y = x^{T}c - x^{T}A^{T}y$$
$$= x^{T}(c - A^{T}y)$$
$$\geq 0$$

Strong duality If P or D is feasible and bounded then $z^* = w^*$.

Strong duality If P or D is feasible and bounded then $z^* = w^*$. Primal feasible, bounded, value z^* .
Primal feasible, bounded, value z^* .

Claim: Exists a solution to dual of value at least z^* .

Primal feasible, bounded, value z^* .

Claim: Exists a solution to dual of value at least z^* .

 $\exists y, y^T A \leq c, b^T y \geq z^*.$

Primal feasible, bounded, value z^* .

Claim: Exists a solution to dual of value at least z^* .

 $\exists y, y^T A \leq c, b^T y \geq z^*.$

Want y.

Primal feasible, bounded, value z^* .

Claim: Exists a solution to dual of value at least z^* .

 $\exists y, y^T A \leq c, b^T y \geq z^*.$

Want y.

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^{\check{T}} \\ -b^{T} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{c} \\ -\mathbf{z}^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Primal feasible, bounded, value z^* .

Claim: Exists a solution to dual of value at least z^* .

$$\exists y, y^T A \leq c, b^T y \geq z^*.$$

Want y.

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^{\check{T}} \\ -b^{T} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{c} \\ -\mathbf{z}^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

If none, then Farkas B says $\exists x, \lambda \ge 0.$ $(A -b) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0$

$$\begin{pmatrix} c^T & -z^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} < 0$$

Primal feasible, bounded, value z^* .

Claim: Exists a solution to dual of value at least z^* .

$$\exists y, y^T A \leq c, b^T y \geq z^*.$$

Want y.

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^{\check{T}} \\ -b^{T} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{c} \\ -\mathbf{z}^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

If none, then Farkas B says $\exists x, \lambda \ge 0.$ $(A -b) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0$

$$c^{T}$$
 $-z^{*}$) $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ < 0

 $\exists x, \lambda \text{ with } Ax - b\lambda = 0 \text{ and } c^t x - z^* \lambda < 0$

Primal feasible, bounded, value z^* .

Claim: Exists a solution to dual of value at least z^* .

$$\exists y, y^T A \leq c, b^T y \geq z^*.$$

Want y.

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^{\check{T}} \\ -b^{T} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{c} \\ -\mathbf{z}^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

If none, then Farkas B says $\exists x, \lambda \ge 0.$ $(A -b) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0$

$$c^{T}$$
 $-z^{*}$) $\begin{pmatrix} x\\\lambda \end{pmatrix}$ < 0

 $\exists x, \lambda \text{ with } Ax - b\lambda = 0 \text{ and } c^t x - z^* \lambda < 0$

Case 1: $\lambda > 0$.

Primal feasible, bounded, value z^* .

Claim: Exists a solution to dual of value at least z^* .

$$\exists y, y^T A \leq c, b^T y \geq z^*.$$

Want y.

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^{\check{T}} \\ -b^{T} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{c} \\ -\mathbf{z}^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

If none, then Farkas B says $\exists x, \lambda \ge 0.$ $(A -b)\begin{pmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0$ $(c^T -z^*)\begin{pmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} < 0$

 $\exists x, \lambda \text{ with } Ax - b\lambda = 0 \text{ and } c^t x - z^* \lambda < 0$ Case 1: $\lambda > 0$. $A(\frac{x}{\lambda}) = b$, $c^T(\frac{x}{\lambda}) < z^*$.

Primal feasible, bounded, value z^* .

Claim: Exists a solution to dual of value at least z^* .

$$\exists y, y^T A \leq c, b^T y \geq z^*.$$

Want y.

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^{\check{T}} \\ -b^{T} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{c} \\ -\mathbf{z}^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

If none, then Farkas B says $\exists x, \lambda \ge 0.$ $(A -b) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0$ $(c^T -z^*) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} < 0$

$$\exists x, \lambda \text{ with } Ax - b\lambda = 0 \text{ and } c^t x - z^* \lambda < 0$$

Case 1: $\lambda > 0$. $A(\frac{x}{\lambda}) = b$, $c^T(\frac{x}{\lambda}) < z^*$. Better Primal!!

Primal feasible, bounded, value z^* .

Claim: Exists a solution to dual of value at least z^* .

$$\exists y, y^T A \leq c, b^T y \geq z^*.$$

Want y.

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^{\check{T}} \\ -b^{T} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{c} \\ -\mathbf{z}^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

If none, then Farkas B says $\exists x, \lambda \ge 0.$ $(A -b)\begin{pmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0$ $(c^T -z^*)\begin{pmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} < 0$

 $\exists x, \lambda \text{ with } Ax - b\lambda = 0 \text{ and } c^t x - z^* \lambda < 0$ Case 1: $\lambda > 0$. $A(\frac{x}{\lambda}) = b$, $c^T(\frac{x}{\lambda}) < z^*$. Better Primal!! Case 2: $\lambda = 0$. $Ax = 0, c^T x < 0$.

Primal feasible, bounded, value z^* .

Claim: Exists a solution to dual of value at least z^* .

$$\exists y, y^T A \leq c, b^T y \geq z^*.$$

Want y.

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^{\check{T}} \\ -b^{T} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{c} \\ -\mathbf{z}^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

If none, then Farkas B says $\exists x, \lambda \ge 0.$ $(A -b) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0$

$$\begin{pmatrix} c^T & -z^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} < 0$$

 $\exists x, \lambda \text{ with } Ax - b\lambda = 0 \text{ and } c^t x - z^*\lambda < 0$ Case 1: $\lambda > 0$. $A(\frac{x}{\lambda}) = b$, $c^T(\frac{x}{\lambda}) < z^*$. Better Primal!! Case 2: $\lambda = 0$. Ax = 0, $c^T x < 0$. Feasible \tilde{x} for Primal.

Primal feasible, bounded, value z^* .

Claim: Exists a solution to dual of value at least z^* .

$$\exists y, y^T A \leq c, b^T y \geq z^*.$$

Want y.

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^{\check{T}} \\ -b^{T} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{c} \\ -\mathbf{z}^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

If none, then Farkas B says $\exists x, \lambda \ge 0.$ $(A -b) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0$

$$\begin{pmatrix} c^T & -z^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} < 0$$

 $\exists x, \lambda \text{ with } Ax - b\lambda = 0 \text{ and } c^t x - z^* \lambda < 0$ Case 1: $\lambda > 0$. $A(\frac{x}{\lambda}) = b$, $c^T(\frac{x}{\lambda}) < z^*$. Better Primal!!
Case 2: $\lambda = 0$. Ax = 0, $c^T x < 0$.
Feasible \tilde{x} for Primal.
(a) $\tilde{x} + \mu x \ge 0$ since $\tilde{x}, x, \mu \ge 0$.

Primal feasible, bounded, value z^* .

Claim: Exists a solution to dual of value at least z^* .

$$\exists y, y^T A \leq c, b^T y \geq z^*.$$

Want y.

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^{\check{T}} \\ -b^{T} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{c} \\ -\mathbf{z}^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

If none, then Farkas B says $\exists x, \lambda \ge 0.$ $(A -b) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0$

$$\begin{pmatrix} c^T & -z^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} < 0$$

 $\exists x, \lambda \text{ with } Ax - b\lambda = 0 \text{ and } c^{t}x - z^{*}\lambda < 0$ Case 1: $\lambda > 0$. $A(\frac{x}{\lambda}) = b$, $c^{T}(\frac{x}{\lambda}) < z^{*}$. Better Primal!!

Case 2: $\lambda = 0$. Ax = 0, $c^T x < 0$. Feasible \tilde{x} for Primal. (a) $\tilde{x} + \mu x \ge 0$ since $\tilde{x}, x, \mu \ge 0$. (b) $A(\tilde{x} + \mu x) = A\tilde{x} + \mu Ax = b + \mu \cdot 0 = b$.

Primal feasible, bounded, value z^* .

Claim: Exists a solution to dual of value at least z^* .

$$\exists y, y^T A \leq c, b^T y \geq z^*.$$

Want y.

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^{\check{T}} \\ -b^{T} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{c} \\ -\mathbf{z}^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

If none, then Farkas B says $\exists x, \lambda \ge 0.$ $(A -b) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0$

$$\begin{pmatrix} c^T & -z^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} < 0$$

 $\exists x, \lambda \text{ with } Ax - b\lambda = 0 \text{ and } c^t x - z^*\lambda < 0$ Case 1: $\lambda > 0$. $A(\frac{x}{\lambda}) = b$, $c^T(\frac{x}{\lambda}) < z^*$. Better Primal!! Case 2: $\lambda = 0$. Ax = 0, $c^T x < 0$. Feasible \tilde{x} for Primal.

(a) $\tilde{x} + \mu x \ge 0$ since $\tilde{x}, x, \mu \ge 0$. (b) $A(\tilde{x} + \mu x) = A\tilde{x} + \mu Ax = b + \mu \cdot 0 = b$. Feasible

Primal feasible, bounded, value z^* .

Claim: Exists a solution to dual of value at least z^* .

$$\exists y, y^T A \leq c, b^T y \geq z^*.$$

Want y.

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^{\check{T}} \\ -b^{T} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{c} \\ -\mathbf{z}^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

If none, then Farkas B says $\exists x, \lambda \ge 0.$ $(A -b) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0$

$$egin{array}{ccc} c^{\mathcal{T}} & -z^* \end{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix} x \ \lambda \end{pmatrix} < 0$$

 $\exists x, \lambda \text{ with } Ax - b\lambda = 0 \text{ and } c^t x - z^*\lambda < 0$ Case 1: $\lambda > 0$. $A(\frac{x}{\lambda}) = b$, $c^T(\frac{x}{\lambda}) < z^*$. Better Primal!! Case 2: $\lambda = 0$. Ax = 0, $c^T x < 0$.

Feasible \tilde{x} for Primal.

(a)
$$\tilde{x} + \mu x \ge 0$$
 since $\tilde{x}, x, \mu \ge 0$.
(b) $A(\tilde{x} + \mu x) = A\tilde{x} + \mu Ax = b + \mu \cdot 0 = b$. Feasible $c^{T}(\tilde{x} + \mu x) = x^{T}\tilde{x} + \mu c^{T}x \rightarrow -\infty$ as $\mu \rightarrow \infty$

Primal feasible, bounded, value z^* .

Claim: Exists a solution to dual of value at least z^* .

$$\exists y, y^T A \leq c, b^T y \geq z^*.$$

Want y.

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^{\check{T}} \\ -b^{T} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{c} \\ -\mathbf{z}^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

If none, then Farkas B says $\exists x, \lambda \ge 0.$ $(A -b) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0$

$$c^{T}$$
 $-z^{*}$) $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} < 0$

 $\exists x, \lambda \text{ with } Ax - b\lambda = 0 \text{ and } c^t x - z^*\lambda < 0$ Case 1: $\lambda > 0$. $A(\frac{x}{\lambda}) = b$, $c^T(\frac{x}{\lambda}) < z^*$. Better Primal!!

Case 2:
$$\lambda = 0$$
. $Ax = 0$, $c^T x < 0$.
Feasible \tilde{x} for Primal.
(a) $\tilde{x} + \mu x \ge 0$ since $\tilde{x}, x, \mu \ge 0$.
(b) $A(\tilde{x} + \mu x) = A\tilde{x} + \mu Ax = b + \mu \cdot 0 = b$. Feasible $c^T(\tilde{x} + \mu x) = x^T \tilde{x} + \mu c^T x \rightarrow -\infty$ as $\mu \rightarrow \infty$
Primal unbounded!

See you on Thursday.